2477 Arnold Industrial Way

Concord, CA 94520-5326

(925) 676-7500

countyconnection.com

### ADMINISTRATION & FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA

Wednesday, April 2, 2014 9:00 a.m. Hanson Bridgett 1676 North California Blvd., Suite 620 Walnut Creek, California

The committee may take action on each item on the agenda. The action may consist of the recommended action, a related action or no action. Staff recommendations are subject to action and/or change by the committee.

- 1. Approval of Agenda
- 2. Public Communication
- 3. Approval of Minutes of March 4, 2014\*
- 4. OPEB Actuarial Valuation Report\*
- 5. Creation of an Eco Pass\*
- 6. Revised FY2015 Draft Budget\*
- 7. Review of Vendor Bills, March 2014\*\*
- 8. Legal Services Statement, January 2014-Labor, January 2014-General\*\*
- 9. Adjournment

FY2013/2014 A&F Committee

Al Dessayer - Moraga, Don Tatzin - Lafayette, Robert Storer - Danville, Gregg Manning - Clayton

Clayton • Concord • Contra Costa County • Danville • Lafayette • Martinez Moraga • Orinda • Pleasant Hill • San Ramon • Walnut Creek

<sup>\*</sup>Enclosure

<sup>\*\*</sup>Enclosure for Committee Members

#### General Information

<u>Public Comment</u>: Each person wishing to address the committee is requested to complete a Speakers Card for submittal to the Committee Chair before the meeting convenes or the applicable agenda item is discussed. Persons who address the Committee are also asked to furnish a copy of any written statement to the Committee Chair. Persons who wish to speak on matters set for Public Hearings will be heard when the Chair calls for comments from the public. After individuals have spoken, the Public Hearing is closed and the matter is subject to discussion and action by the Committee.

A period of thirty (30) minutes has been allocated for public comments concerning items of interest within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Committee. Each individual will be allotted three minutes, which may be extended at the discretion of the Committee Chair.

<u>Consent Items</u>: All matters listed under the Consent Calendar are considered by the committee to be routine and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless requested by a committee member or a member of the public prior to when the committee votes on the motion to adopt.

<u>Availability of Public Records</u>: All public records relating to an open session item on this agenda, which are not exempt from disclosure pursuant to the California Public Records Act, that are distributed to a majority of the legislative body, will be available for public inspection at 2477 Arnold Industrial Way, Concord, California, at the same time that the public records are distributed or made available to the legislative body. The agenda and enclosures for this meeting are posted also on our website at www.countyconnection.com.

Accessible Public Meetings: Upon request, County Connection will provide written agenda materials in appropriate alternative formats, or disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to enable individuals with disabilities to participate in public meetings. Please send a written request, including your name, mailing address, phone number and brief description of the requested materials and preferred alternative format or auxiliary aid or service so that it is received by County Connection at least 48 hours before the meeting convenes. Requests should be sent to the Assistant to the General Manager, Lathina Hill, at 2477 Arnold Industrial Way, Concord, CA 94520 or hill@countyconnection.com.

<u>Shuttle Service</u>: With 24-hour notice, a County Connection LINK shuttle can be available at the BART station nearest the meeting location for individuals who want to attend the meeting. To arrange for the shuttle service, please call Robert Greenwood – 925/680 2072, no later than 24 hours prior to the start of the meeting.

### **Currently Scheduled Board and Committee Meetings**

Board of Directors: Thursday, April 17, 9:00 a.m., County Connection Board Room

Administration & Finance: Wednesday, April 30, 9:00 a.m. 1676 N. California Blvd., S620, Walnut Creek

Advisory Committee: TBA, County Connection Board Room

Marketing, Planning & Legislative: Thursday, April 3, 8:30 a.m., 3338 Mt. Diablo Blvd., Lafayette

Operations & Scheduling: Friday, April 4, 8:00 a.m., Walnut Creek City Offices

The above meeting schedules are subject to change. Please check the County Connection Website (www.countyconnection.com) or contact County Connection staff at 925/676-1976 to verify date, time and location prior to attending a meeting.

This agenda is posted on County Connection's Website (www.countyconnection.com) and at the County Connection Administrative Offices, 2477 Arnold Industrial Way, Concord, California



#### **INTER OFFICE MEMO**

### Administration and Finance Committee Summary Minutes March 4, 2014

The meeting was called to order at 4:00 p.m. at the Walnut Creek offices of Hanson Bridgett. Those in attendance were:

Committee Members: Director Al Dessayer

Director Don Tatzin Director Gregg Manning

Staff: General Manager Rick Ramacier

Director of Finance Kathy Casenave

Sr. Manager of Transportation, Rashidi Barnes

Civil Rights Administer Kristina Vassallo

Guests: Andrew Brown, Highmark Capital Management

Ryan Fox, Highmark Capital Management

Mitch Barker, PARS Rachael Sanders, PARS

- 1. <u>Approval of Agenda-</u> Director Dessayer suggested that Item 6, Creation of an Eco Pass be postponed until the next meeting because of the full agenda. Approved.
- 2. Public Communication- None.
- 3. Approval of Minutes of February 5, 2014- Approved with minor corrections of future meeting dates.
- 4. <u>PARS OPEB Trust-</u> PARS and Highmark Capital Management advisors reported that the performance for the trust for the last year was 5.21% and since inception, 6.5%. The committee discussed whether to reduce the discount rate of 5.5% for the next valuation report but decided to keep at that rate since over the long run the investments are expected to perform at that level.
- 5. <u>Amendment to the Recognition of Retiring or Departing CCCTA Employees Policy</u>- Civil Rights Administrator Vassallo reported that staff had reviewed the current policy and recommends that an additional category of twenty five or more years be added. Retiring employees in this category would have a bus stop sign and a name and picture on the County Connection Wall of Fame. Approved.
- 6. Creation of an Echo Pass- Postponed for the next meeting.
- 7. FY 2015 Draft Budget—This is the first draft of the FY 2015 budget. Director Casenave reported that FY 2014 estimated actual expenses are projected to be 2.4% under budget. The first draft shows an increase of 6%. Wage increases, fringe benefits and diesel fuel are the main reasons for projected increase. Director Casenave was asked to come back with answers to specific questions about the proposed wage increase, the repair parts, cellular telephone expense, landscape and ticket expense. This draft will be reviewed and adjusted for the April committee meeting. The April draft will then be presented to the Board for comment and questions.
- 8. Review of Vendor Bills, February 2014- Reviewed.
- 9. Legal Services Statement, December 2013, General and Labor- Approved.
- 10. Adjournment- The meeting was adjourned. The next meetings will be April 2, and April 30, at 9:00 am.

Kathy Casenave, Director of Finance



### **INTER OFFICE MEMO**

To: Administration and Finance Committee Date: March 26, 2014

From: Kathy Casenave, Director of Finance Reviewed by:

SUBJECT: OPEB ACTUARIAL VALUATION

### Summary of Issues:

The Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued reporting standards that require government entities to recognize and report in their financial statements the present value of future medical benefits that employees have earned, even though the actual payment of the benefits will be over many future periods.

CCCTA was required to comply with this statement (GASB 45) and is required to commission a new actuarial report every two years. The attached report is for FY 2014 and FY 2015.

The report has been prepared by Bickmore Risk Services. Prior reports were prepared by Buck Consultants, but the two actuaries that are most familiar with the Authority are no longer with that firm.

Bickmore Risk Services is a risk management company for public entities and provides a wide variety of services. In fact it provides management services to two insurance pools that County Connection is a member- CalTIP (liability and property) and LAWCX (workers compensation).

The Bickmore actuaries will be available by telephone to review the report with committee members and answer questions.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends that the A&F Committee approve the OPEB Actuarial Valuation.



March 25, 2014

Ms. Kathy Casenave Director of Finance Central Contra Costa Transit Authority 2477 Arnold Industrial Way Concord, CA 94520

Re: July 1, 2013 Actuarial Report on GASB 45 Retiree Benefit Valuation

Dear Kathy:

We are pleased to enclose our report providing the results of the July 1, 2013 actuarial valuation of other post-employment benefit (OPEB) liabilities for the Central Contra Costa Transit Authority (the Authority). The report's text describes our analysis and assumptions in detail. This report should be considered a draft until the Authority has had an opportunity to review and comment. Once any issues have been discussed and resolved, we will issue our final report.

The primary purposes of the report are to develop the value of future OPEB expected to be provided by the Authority, and the current OPEB liability and the annual OPEB expense to be reported in the Authority's financial statements for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2015.

This valuation was prepared with the understanding that:

- ➤ The Authority will continue to follow its previously established policy of prefunding OPEB liabilities through the irrevocable trust account with Public Agency Retirement Services (PARS).
- ➤ There have been no changes to benefits since the 2011 valuation was prepared, other than (a) the continued 5% per year phase in of benefits for ATU retirees and (b) updates to the PEMHCA resolutions to add monthly benefit amounts for new CalPERS medical plans available in 2014.
- ➤ The Authority confirms that it maintains a pre-tax flexible benefit plan which provides medical benefits for active employees in addition to those provided by the PEMHCA resolutions.

We appreciate the opportunity to work on this analysis and acknowledge the efforts of the Authority's staff, who provided valuable information and assistance to enable us to perform this valuation. Please let us know if we can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

Catherine L. MacLeod, FSA, EA, MAAA Director, Health and Benefit Actuarial Services

Enclosure

### **Table of Contents**

A.	Executive Summary	1
B.	Requirements of GASB 45	3
C.	Sources of OPEB Liabilities	4
	OPEB Obligations of the Authority	4
D.	Valuation Process	
E.	Changes Since the Prior Valuation	
F.	Funding Policy	
	Determination of the ARC	8
	Decisions Affecting the Amortization Payment	
G.	Choice of Actuarial Funding Method and Assumptions	
	Factors Impacting the Selection of Funding Method	9
	Factors Affecting the Selection of Assumptions	
H.	Certification	10
Tab	ole 1A Summary of Valuation Results Prefunding Basis	11
Tab	ole 1B Calculation of the Annual Required Contribution Prefunding Basis	12
Tab	ole 1C Expected OPEB Disclosures Prefunding Basis	13
Tab	ole 2 Summary of Employee Data	14
Tab	ole 3A Summary of Retiree Benefit Provisions	15
Tab	ole 3B General CalPERS Annuitant Eligibility Provisions	20
Tab	ole 4 OPEB Valuation Actuarial Methods and Assumptions	21
Tab	ole 5 Projected Benefit Payments	26
App	pendix 1 Breakout of the Authority Plan Results by Group	27
Glo	ossary	30

### A. Executive Summary

This report presents the results of the July 1, 2013 actuarial valuation of the Central Contra Costa Transit Authority (the Authority) other post-employment benefit (OPEB) programs. Briefly, benefits include subsidized medical coverage for eligible retirees. The purpose of this valuation is to assess the OPEB liabilities and provide disclosure information as required by Statement No. 45 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB 45).

How much the Authority contributes each year affects the calculation of liabilities. The Authority is prefunding its OPEB obligations by consistently making contributions greater than or equal to the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) each year. Trust assets are currently invested in an account with Public Agency Retirement Services (PARS). At the time the 2011 valuation was prepared, a discount rate of 5.5% was used. PARS confirms that the long term expected rate of return for this fund supports this discount rate and the Authority indicated to Bickmore that no change is planned; accordingly, this valuation was also prepared using a 5.5% discount rate. Use of this rate is not a guarantee of future investment performance, but rather an assumption about the expected long term rate of return.

We calculate the GASB 45 actuarial accrued liability (AAL) to be \$5,875,942 and the normal cost to be \$227,211 as of July 1, 2013. The Authority reported OPEB trust assets in PARS as of this date of \$1,165,830 to offset these liabilities. Thus, the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) on July 1, 2013 is \$4,710,112, and the funded ratio is 19.8%.

Exhibits presented in this report reflect our understanding that the results of this July 1, 2013 valuation will be applied in determining the Authority's annual OPEB expense for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2014 and 2015. Assuming contributions equal or exceed the ARC each year, the following summarizes results for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014:

- We calculate the annual required contribution (ARC) to be \$462,235.
- Contributions are assumed to equal the ARC. Active employees' contributions are
  projected to total about \$14,000 for this period, and will be used to pay a portion of
  retiree premiums. We anticipate that the Authority will pay the remainder of retiree
  premiums during the period and contribute the balance of the ARC to PARS.
- Based on the calculations and contributions described above, we project a net OPEB obligation (NOO) of \$3,233 on June 30, 2014. If the Authority would like to reduce the NOO to \$0, it could increase its total OPEB contributions from \$462,235 to \$465,468.

These results are shown in tables beginning on page 11. Projected results for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015 are also shown in these tables.

The liabilities shown in the report reflect assumptions regarding continued future employment, rates of retirement and survival, and elections by future retirees to retain coverage for themselves and their dependents. To the extent that actual experience is not what we assumed, future results will be different. We also note that this valuation has been prepared on a closed group basis, with no provision made for new employees.





### Executive Summary (Concluded)

While there are some uncertainties about how the prior (July 1, 2011) valuation was prepared, the basic results of this July 1, 2013 valuation compare fairly well with the results of that prior valuation, although the ARC is a bit higher than would be expected by projecting the results of the July 1, 2011 valuation forward two years. We included some discussion of changes in Section E of this report, which begins on page 5.

Details of our valuation process and the various disclosures required by GASB 45 are provided on the succeeding pages.

The next valuation is scheduled to be prepared as of July 1, 2015. If there are any significant changes in the employee data, benefits provided or the funding policy, please contact us to discuss whether an earlier valuation is appropriate.



### **B.** Requirements of GASB 45

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued GASB Statement No. 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions. This Statement establishes standards for the measurement, recognition, and display of OPEB expense/expenditures and related liabilities (assets), note disclosures, and, if applicable, required supplementary information (RSI) in the financial reports of state and local governmental employers. We understand that the Authority implemented GASB 45 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.

For agencies with 200 or more members covered by or eligible for plan benefits, GASB 45 requires that a valuation be prepared no less frequently than every two years. GASB 45 disclosures include the determination of an annual OPEB cost. For the first year, the annual OPEB cost is equal to the annual required contribution (ARC) as determined by the actuary.

- If the Authority's OPEB contributions equal the ARC each year, the net OPEB obligation will equal \$0.
- If the Authority's actual contribution is less than (greater than) the ARC, then a net OPEB obligation (asset) amount is established. In subsequent years, the annual OPEB expense will reflect adjustments made to the net OPEB obligation, in addition to the ARC (see Table 1C).

GASB 45 provides for recognition of payments as contributions if they are made (a) directly to retirees or beneficiaries, (b) to an insurer, e.g., for the payment of premiums, or (c) to an OPEB fund set aside toward the cost of future benefits. Funds set aside for future benefits should be considered contributions to an OPEB plan only if the vehicle established is one that is capable of building assets that are separate from and independent of the control of the employer and legally protected from its creditors. Furthermore, the sole purpose of the assets should be to provide benefits under the plan. These conditions generally require the establishment of a legal trust, such as the Authority's OPEB trust account with PARS. Earmarked assets or reserves may be an important step in financing future benefits, but they may not be recognized as an asset for purposes of reporting under GASB 45.

The decision whether or not to prefund, and at what level, is at the discretion of the Authority, as are the manner and term for paying down the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. Once a funding policy has been established, however, the Authority's auditor may have an opinion as to the timing and manner of any change to such policy in future years. The level of prefunding also affects the selection of the discount rate used for valuing the liabilities.

We note that various issues in this report may involve legal analysis of applicable law or regulations. The Authority should consult counsel on these matters; Bickmore does not practice law and does not intend anything in this report to constitute legal advice. In addition, we recommend the Authority consult with their internal accounting staff or external auditor or accounting firm about the accounting treatment of OPEB liabilities.



### C. Sources of OPEB Liabilities

Post-employment benefits other than pensions (OPEB) comprise a part of compensation that employers offer for services received. The most common OPEB are:

Medical

Vision

Dental

Life insurance

Prescription drug

Other possible post-employment benefits may include outside group legal, long-term care, or disability benefits outside of a pension plan. OPEB does not generally include vacation, sick leave<sup>1</sup> or COBRA benefits, which fall under other GASB accounting statements.

A direct employer payment toward the cost of OPEB is referred to as an "explicit" subsidy and these are included in the determination of OPEB liabilities. In addition, if claims experience of employees and retirees are pooled when determining premiums, the retirees pay a premium based on a pool of members that, on average, are younger and healthier. For certain types of coverage, such as medical, payment of the same premium rate results in an "implicit" subsidy of retiree claims by active employee premiums since the retiree premiums are lower than they would have been if the retirees were insured separately. Paragraph 13.a. of GASB 45 generally requires an implicit subsidy of retiree premium rates be valued as an OPEB liability.

Exceptions may exist when the plan is part of a "community-rated" program. Current GASB guidance<sup>2</sup> may allow an agency whose membership is a small portion (in the neighborhood of 1%) of the total coverage of a multiple employer plan to reasonably conclude that any change in their group's mix of retirees and active employees would not affect the premium rates for the plan. In those circumstances, while an implicit subsidy may exist, it is not required to be disclosed.

### **OPEB Obligations of the Authority**

The Authority provides continuation of medical coverage to its retiring employees. For retirees and their dependent(s) who have chosen to retain this coverage:

- The Authority contributes directly to the cost of retiree medical coverage. These benefits are described in Table 3 and liabilities have been included in this valuation.
- Employees are covered by the CalPERS medical program. The experience of public agency employer membership in this program is community-rated ("OPEB Assumption Model", April 2010) and the Authority's membership in this program is incidental relative to the total number of members covered. As currently permitted by GASB 45, this report does not make age-related premium adjustments or compute an implicit rate subsidy for employees covered under this program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Changes in Actuarial Standard of Practice and a new GASB Statement for reporting of OPEB liabilities are being considered. One possible change would be the elimination of the exception for disclosing the implicit subsidy liability for community rated plans. If implemented, this change would significantly impact the OPEB liability to be reported by the Authority.



4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> When a terminating employee's unused sick leave credits are converted to provide or enhance a defined benefit OPEB, e.g., healthcare benefits, such converted sick leave credits should be valued under GASB 45.

#### **D. Valuation Process**

The valuation has been based on employee census data initially submitted to us by the Authority in December 2013 and clarified in various related communications. Summaries of that data are provided in Table 2. While the individual employee records have been reviewed to verify that they are reasonable in various respects, the data has not been audited and we have otherwise relied on the Authority as to its accuracy. A summary of the benefits provided under the Plan is provided in Table 3, based on information supplied to Bickmore by the Authority. The valuation described below has been performed in accordance with the actuarial methods and assumptions described in Table 4.

In the specific development of the projected benefit values and liabilities, we first determine an expected premium or benefit stream over the employee's future retirement. We then calculate a present value of these benefits as of the valuation date.

- These present value determinations discount the value of each future expected benefit
  payment back to the valuation date, using the discount rate. The present value
  calculations also reflect assumptions for the likelihood that an employee may not
  continue in service with the Authority to receive benefits.
- For those that do continue in service with the Authority, assumptions are made regarding the probability of retirement at various ages.
- After adjustments for the probabilities of whether and when an employee may retire from the Authority, we then apply an assumption about whether or not the retiree will elect coverage for themselves and/or dependents.
- To the extent an employee is assumed to qualify and elect coverage in retirement, the calculated liability reflects expected trends in the cost of those benefits and the assumptions as to the expected date(s) those benefits will cease.
- These benefit projections and liabilities have a very long time horizon. The final payments for currently active employees may not be made for 70 years or more.

The resulting present value for each employee is allocated as a level percent of payroll each year over the employee's career using the entry age normal cost method. This creates a cost expected to increase each year as payroll increases. Amounts attributed to prior fiscal years form the "actuarial accrued liability" (AAL). The amount of future OPEB cost allocated to the current year is referred to as the "normal cost". The remaining cost to be assigned to future years is called the "present value of future normal costs".

#### In summary:

Actuarial Accrued Liability	Past Years' Costs	\$ 5,875,942
plus Normal Cost	Current Year's Cost	227,211
plus Present Value of Future Normal Costs	Future Years' Costs	1,236,060
equals Present Value of Future Benefits	Total Benefit Costs	\$ 7,339,213

Where contributions have been made to an irrevocable OPEB trust, the accumulated value of trust assets is applied to offset the AAL. The value of assets invested in the Authority's PARS account on June 30, 2013 was reported to be \$1,165,830. The portion of the AAL not covered by assets is referred to as the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL).



### E. Basic Valuation Results

The following chart compares the results of the July 1, 2013 valuation of OPEB liabilities to the results of the July 1, 2011 valuation.

	Prefunding Basis				
Valuation date	7/1/2011	7/1/2013			
Discount rate	5.50%	5.50%			
Number of Covered Employees					
Actives	251	233			
Retirees	36	38			
Total Participants	287	271			
Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits					
Actives	Not	\$ 5,647,516			
Retirees	Provided	1,691,697			
Total APVPB		7,339,213			
Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)					
Actives	5,821,345	4,184,245			
Retirees	1,500,790	1,691,697			
Total AAL	7,322,135	5,875,942			
Actuarial Value of Assets	613,708	1,165,830			
Unfunded AAL (UAAL)	6,531,977	4,710,112			
Normal Cost	126,394	227,211			
Benefit Payments					
Actives (in retirement)	-	43,662			
Retirees	95,347	129,023			
Total	95,347	172,685			

The funded ratio (the ratio of the Actuarial Value of Assets divided by the Actuarial Accrued Liability) is 19.8% as of July 1, 2013. Covered payroll as of July 1, 2013 was reported to be \$12,017,071. The Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability, expressed as a percentage of payroll, is 39.2% as of this date.

### **Changes Since the Prior Valuation**

While Bickmore did not prepare the prior valuation for the Authority, it is generally the case that OPEB liabilities tend to increase over time as active employees get closer to the date their benefits are expected to begin. Due to the uncertainties involved and the long term nature of these projections, the actuary's prior assumptions are likely never to be exactly realized. Nonetheless, it is helpful to review why results might be different than anticipated.

In comparing results shown in the exhibit above, we can see that the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) actually decreased by approximately \$1,822,000 over the two year



### Basic Valuation Results (Concluded)

period between July 1, 2011 and July 1, 2013. From our review of the 2011 valuation, it appears that the UAAL might reasonably have been expected to increase by about \$346,000 from new costs accrued for active employees and the passage of time, offset by expected contributions to the trust and benefits expected to be paid to retirees. The actual UAAL is \$2,168,000 less than expected, most likely a result of the following:

- A change in the actuarial funding method, to the Entry Age Normal funding method, with the normal cost developed as a level % of payroll, from the Projected Unit credit method. We estimate that this change decreased the UAAL by about \$954,000.
- Update to assumptions for mortality, termination and service retirement, based on the
  most recent CalPERS retirement plan experience study covering Authority employees;
  the mortality rate changes include a projection of future mortality improvements (i.e.,
  longer life expectancies). We did not specifically quantify these changes, but expect
  that the addition of the projected mortality improvements would have increased the
  UAAL somewhat.
- A \$430,000 increase in the UAAL, from changes in the expected percentage of future retirees assumed to elect coverage in retirement, now varying by employee group, calendar year of retirement and age (over or under age 65) at the time of retirement;
- A \$119,000 increase in the UAAL from including the potential cost of dependent benefits for retirees, reflecting the extension of healthcare coverage of children until age 26, as well as potential earlier benefit commencement due to disability retirement; and
- A minor \$4,000 increase in the UAAL from changes in the assumed future increase in medical premium levels.
- While not specifically quantified, it appears there may have been a significant decrease
  in the UAAL (in the neighborhood of \$1,800,000) from favorable plan experience
  relative to prior assumptions, such as changes in plan members, coverage levels and
  plans selected and lower-than-expected premium rates for Medicare retirees.

**Additional comments**: While the UAAL decreased substantially as a result of the combined factors described above, the Annual Required Contributions did not. This appears to be related to three factors:

- While the new method used in this valuation lowers the UAAL substantially, it increases the normal cost for active employees. Thus, while one component of the ARC was decreased, another component was increased, to some extent offsetting one another.
- 2. The 2011 valuation did not appear to reflect the discount rate in developing the amortization component of the ARC. The payment would have been about \$78,000 higher if calculated as described in the Authority's financial statements.
- 3. It is unclear whether the 2011 valuation included the liability for years where the required PEMHCA minimum employer contribution (MEC) is projected to exceed the fixed dollar benefit caps for retirees.



### F. Funding Policy

The specific calculation of the ARC and annual OPEB expense for an employer depends on how the employer elects to fund these benefits. Contributing an amount greater than or equal to the ARC each year is referred to as "prefunding". Prefunding generally allows the employer to have the liability calculated using a higher discount rate, which in turn lowers the liability. In addition, following a prefunding policy does not build up a net OPEB obligation because the contribution equals or exceeds the annual OPEB cost each year.

#### **Determination of the ARC**

The Annual Required Contribution (ARC) consists of two basic components, which have been adjusted with interest to the Authority's fiscal year end:

- The amounts attributed to service performed in the current fiscal year (the normal cost) and
- Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL).

The ARCs for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2015 are developed in Table 1B.

### **Decisions Affecting the Amortization Payment**

The period and method for amortizing the AAL can significantly affect the ARC. GASB 45:

- Prescribes a maximum amortization period of 30 years and requires no minimum amortization period (except 10 years for certain actuarial gains). Immediate full funding of the liability is also permitted, where the expected employer contribution is shown as the interest-adjusted sum of the normal cost and the entire amount of the unfunded accrued liability. Expected contributions in future years are then reduced to the expected normal cost (as a percentage of payroll) plus amortization of any new changes in the unfunded AAL.
- Allows amortization payments to be determined (a) as a level percentage of payroll, designed to increase over time as payroll increases, or (b) as a level dollar amount much like a conventional mortgage, so that this component of the ARC does not increase over time. Where a plan is closed and has no ongoing payroll base, a level percent of payroll basis is not permitted.
- Allows the amortization period to decrease annually by one year (closed basis) or to be maintained at the same number of years (open basis).

### **Funding Policy Illustrated in This Report**

For purposes of this draft report, we have assumed that the Authority's prefunding policy includes amortization of the unfunded AAL over a closed 30-year period, with amortization payments determined on a level percent of pay basis.



### G. Choice of Actuarial Funding Method and Assumptions

The ultimate real cost of an employee benefit plan is the value of all benefits and other expenses of the plan over its lifetime. These expenditures are dependent only on the terms of the plan and the administrative arrangements adopted, and as such are not affected by the actuarial funding method. The actuarial funding method attempts to spread recognition of these expected costs on a level basis over the life of the plan, and as such sets the "incidence of cost". Methods that produce higher initial annual (prefunding) costs will produce lower annual costs later. Conversely, methods that produce lower initial costs will produce higher annual costs later relative to the other methods. GASB 45 allows the use of any of six actuarial funding methods; a brief description of each is in the glossary.

### **Factors Impacting the Selection of Funding Method**

While the goal of GASB 45 is to match recognition of retiree medical expense with the periods during which the benefit is earned, the funding methods differ because they focus on different financial measures in attempting to level the incidence of cost. Appropriate selection of a funding method contributes to creating intergenerational equity between generations of taxpayers. The impact of potential new employees entering the plan may also affect selection of a funding method, though this is not a factor in this plan.

We believe it is most appropriate for the plan sponsor to adopt a theory of funding and consistently apply the funding method representing that theory. This valuation was prepared using the entry age normal cost method with normal cost determined on a level percent of pay basis. The entry age normal cost method often produces initial contributions between those of the other more common methods and is generally regarded by pension actuaries as the most stable of the funding methods and is one of the most commonly used methods for GASB 45 compliance.

### **Factors Affecting the Selection of Assumptions**

Special considerations apply to the selection of actuarial funding methods and assumptions for the Authority. The actuarial assumptions used in this report were chosen, for the most part, to be the same as the actuarial assumptions used for the most recent actuarial valuations of the retirement plans covering Authority employees. Other assumptions were selected based on demonstrated plan experience and/or our best estimate of expected future experience. Many of these assumptions have been updated since the prior valuation was prepared.

In selecting an appropriate discount rate, GASB states that the discount rate should be based on the expected long-term yield of investments used to finance the benefits. As requested by the Authority, the discount rate used in this valuation is 5.5%. Information received from PARS Investment advisors, regarding the long term expected return of the trust account's portfolio and investment strategy, supports use of this discount rate.



### H. Certification

This report presents the results of our actuarial valuation of the other post employment benefits provided by the Central Contra Costa Transit Authority. The purpose of this valuation was to provide the actuarial information required for the Authority's reporting under Statement 45 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The calculations were focused on determining the plan's funded status as of the valuation date, developing the Annual Required Contribution and projecting the Net OPEB Obligations for the years to which this report is expected to be applied.

We certify that this report has been prepared in accordance with our understanding of GASB 45. To the best of our knowledge, the report is complete and accurate, based upon the data and plan provisions provided to us by the Authority. We believe the assumptions and method used are reasonable and appropriate for purposes of the financial reporting required by GASB 45. The results may not be appropriate for other purposes.

Each of the undersigned individuals is a Fellow in the Society of Actuaries and Member of the American Academy of Actuaries who satisfies the Academy Qualification Standards for rendering this opinion.

Signed:	March 25, 2014							
Catherin	nel MacLend ESA EA M	 ΔΔΔ	ancis M. Scl	hauer Ir	FSΔ	FCΔ	ΕΔ	ΜΔΔΔ



10

# Table 1A Summary of Valuation Results

The following summarizes the results of our valuation of OPEB liabilities for the Authority calculated under GASB 45 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014 as well as projected amounts for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015.

The results shown below and on the following two pages reflect our understanding that the Authority intends to contribute 100% of the ARC (inclusive of active employee contributions) for each fiscal year to which this report is expected to be applied. Should those contributions differ by more than an immaterial amount, some of the results in this report will need to be revised.

	Prefunding Basis			
Valuation date		7/1/2013		
For fiscal year beginning		7/1/2013		7/1/2014
For fiscal year ending		6/30/2014		6/30/2015
Discount rate		5.50%		5.50%
Number of Covered Employees*				
Actives		233		233
Retirees		38		38
Total Participants		271		271
Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits				
Actives	\$	5,647,516	\$	5,914,467
Retirees		1,691,697		1,655,717
Total APVPB		7,339,213		7,570,184
Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)				
Actives		4,184,245		4,610,424
Retirees		1,691,697		1,655,717
Total AAL		5,875,942		6,266,141
Actuarial Value of Assets		1,165,830		1,519,501
Unfunded AAL (UAAL)		4,710,112		4,746,640
Normal Cost		227,211		234,595
Benefit Payments				
Actives (in retirement)		43,662		87,161
Retirees		129,023		132,155
Total		172,685		219,316

The projected liabilities and costs shown above are inclusive of amounts expected to be funded by future contributions of active employees. These contributions are currently \$5 per month per employee. The present value of these expected future active employee contributions is \$111,331, or about 2% of the \$5,647,516 in Actuarial Present Value of Projected Future Benefits for current active employees.



# Table 1B Calculation of the Annual Required Contribution

The following exhibit calculates the amortization payments and the annual required contribution (ARC) on a prefunding basis for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2015.

	Prefunding Basis				
Fiscal Year End	6/30/2014	6/30/2015			
Funding Policy					
Discount rate	5.50%	5.50%			
Amortization method	Level % of Pay	Level % of Pay			
Initial amortization period (in years)	30	30			
Remaining period (in years)	30	29			
Determination of Amortization Payment					
UAAL	\$ 4,710,112	\$ 4,746,640			
Factor	22.3306	21.7954			
Payment	210,926	217,781			
Annual Required Contribution (ARC)					
Normal Cost	227,211	234,595			
Amortization of UAAL	210,926	217,781			
Interest to 06/30	24,098	24,881			
Total ARC at fiscal year end	462,235	477,257			

While the following is not intended to be used to determine the normal cost or ARC in future years, this information may be of value for planning purposes:

Valuation date	7/1/2013			
Fiscal Year End		6/30/2014		6/30/2015
Projected covered payroll	\$	12,017,071	\$	12,407,626
Normal Cost as a percent of payroll		1.9%		1.9%
ARC as a percent of payroll		3.8%		3.8%
ARC per active ee		1,984		2,048



# Table 1C Expected OPEB Disclosures

The exhibit below develops the annual OPEB expense, estimates the expected OPEB contributions and projects the net OPEB obligation for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2015. The calculations assume the Authority continues to follow the prefunding approach outlined on the prior page.

	Prefunding Basis			Basis
Fiscal Year End	6/	30/2014	6	6/30/2015
Calculation of the Annual OPEB Expense     a. ARC for current fiscal year     b. Interest on Net OPEB Obligation (Asset)	\$	462,235	\$	477,257
at beginning of year c. Adjustment to the ARC d. Annual OPEB Expense (a. + b. + c.)		176 (152) 462,259		178 (157) 477,278
Calculation of Expected Contribution     a. Estimated payments on behalf of retirees     b. Estimated contribution to OPEB trust     c. Total Expected Contribution		172,685 289,550 462,235		219,316 257,941 477,257
3. Change in Net OPEB Obligation (1.d. minus 2.c.)		24		21
Net OPEB Obligation (Asset), beginning of fiscal year		3,209		3,233
Net OPEB Obligation (Asset) at fiscal year end		3,233		3,254

Please note the following with regard to contributions:

- The Total Expected Contribution amounts shown in item 2 above include contributions of about \$14,000 expected to be paid by active employees during each fiscal year. Those amounts should be reported in the Authority's financial statements as contributions made toward the annual OPEB expense.
- The expected payments to retirees shown in item 2.a. above are projections and should be replaced with the actual payments in order to determine the portion of the ARC to be contributed to the OPEB trust (item 2.b.).
- Should total contributions (Authority plus active employee contributions) be less than the ARC in either of these years, the next valuation may require use of a lower discount rate for valuing the liabilities
- While not required, the Authority may want to contribute an additional \$3,233 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014 to reduce the net OPEB obligation to \$0 on that date.



## Table 2 Summary of Employee Data

The Authority reported 233 active employees; of these, 178 are currently participating in the medical program while 55 employees were waiving coverage as of the valuation date. Age and service information for the reported individuals is provided below:

Distribution of Benefits-Eligible Active Employees								
Current								
Age	Under 1	1 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 & Up	Total	Percent
Under 25	1						1	0%
25 to 29	1	1	3				5	2%
30 to 34	1	1	5	2			9	4%
35 to 39	3	2	9	8	2		24	10%
40 to 44	3	1	9	6	1	1	21	9%
45 to 49	3		4	11	7	5	30	13%
50 to 54	2		10	8	6	15	41	18%
55 to 59	1		8	8	9	20	46	20%
60 to 64		1	3	9	6	14	33	14%
65 to 69		1	2	2	4	10	19	8%
70 & Up				1		3	4	2%
Total	15	7	53	55	35	68	233	100%
Percent	6%	3%	23%	24%	15%	29%	100%	

(Percentages adjusted to total 100%)

Annual Covered Payroll \$12,017,071 Average Attained Age for Actives 52.1 Average Years of Service 14.5

There are also 38 retirees or their beneficiaries receiving benefits, whose ages are summarized in the chart below.

1000000000						
Retirees by Age						
Current	Number	Percent				
Age	Number	Percent				
Below 50	0	0%				
50 to 54	1	3%				
55 to 59	3	8%				
60 to 64	4	11%				
65 to 69	17	45%				
70 to 74	4	11%				
75 to 79	8	21%				
80 & up	1	3%				
Total 38 100%						
Average Atta	ained Age					
for Retirees:		68.9				



# **Table 2- Summary of Employee Data** (Concluded)

The chart below summarizes the number of active and retired employees by group:

Actives and Retirees by Group							
	Actives	Ret					
Group	Actives	Under age 65	Total				
Administration	48	3	13	64			
ATU	174	5	15	194			
Teamsters	11	0	2	13			
Total	233	8	30	271			

The chart below reconciles the number of actives and retirees included in the July 1, 2011 valuation of the Authority plan with those included in the July 1, 2013 valuation:

Change in Number of Actives and Retirees in the Valuation						
	Actives	Retirees	Total			
Number included on July 1, 2011:	251	36	287			
Number included on July 1, 2013:	233	38	271			
Increase (decrease)	(18)	2	(16)			

The charts below and on the following page summarize the plans (and associated caps) chosen by employees in the Administrative, ATU, and Teamsters groups.

Administrative Employees									
Frozen Active & Retiree Caps									
	Single Part	y Coverage	Two Party	Coverage	Family (	Coverage			
Plan	Caps	Number of Participants	Caps	Number of Participants	Caps	Number of Participants			
Anthem HMO Traditional*	\$ 494.86		\$989.71		\$ 1,286.63				
Anthem HMO Select*	270.71		541.42		703.85				
Blue Shield HMO	329.08	5	658.10	2	855.60				
Blue Shield NetValue	329.08	11	658.10	4	855.60	4			
Health Net <sup>†</sup>	119.00		119.00		119.00				
Kaiser	303.56	15	607.12	8	789.26	3			
PERS Care	494.86	1	989.71		1,286.63				
PERS Choice	289.98	2	579.96		753.95				
PERS Select	270.71		541.42		703.85				
PORAC <sup>†</sup>	119.00		119.00		119.00				
Sharp <sup>†</sup>	119.00		119.00		119.00				
United Healthcare*	303.56		607.12		789.26				
Waiving Coverage		9							
Total		43		14		7			



Table 2- Summary of Employee Data (Concluded)

Amalgamated Transit Union (ATU)									
Frozen Active Caps (Retirees receive 65% of caps below in 2014)									
	Single Part	y Coverage	Two Party	/ Coverage	Family (	Coverage			
Plan	Caps	Number of Participants	Caps	Number of Participants	Caps	Number of Participants			
Anthem HMO Traditional*	\$ 374.92		\$ 749.83		\$ 974.78				
Anthem HMO Select*	233.59		467.18		607.34				
Blue Shield HMO	266.47	8	532.93	4	692.81				
Blue Shield NetValue	266.47	6	532.93	7	692.81	1			
Health Net <sup>†</sup>	119.00		119.00		119.00				
Kaiser	235.34	53	470.67	41	611.87	23			
PERS Care	374.92	2	749.83		974.78				
PERS Choice	241.24		482.48	5	627.23				
PERS Select	233.59		467.18		607.34				
PORAC <sup>†</sup>	119.00		119.00		119.00				
Sharp <sup>†</sup>	119.00		119.00		119.00				
United Healthcare*	235.34		470.67		611.87				
Waiving Coverage	_	44	_		_	_			
Total		113		57		24			

Teamsters, Local 856									
Frozen Active and Retiree Caps									
		y Coverage		Coverage	Family (	Coverage			
Plan	Caps	Number of Participants	Caps	Number of Participants	Caps	Number of Participants			
Anthem HMO Traditional*	\$ 374.92		\$ 749.83		\$ 974.78				
Anthem HMO Select*	226.58		453.16		589.11				
Blue Shield HMO	280.29	1	560.57		728.74				
Blue Shield NetValue	280.29		560.57		728.74	2			
Health Net <sup>†</sup>	119.00		119.00		119.00				
Kaiser	254.15	1	508.30	5	660.79	2			
PERS Care	374.92		749.83		974.78				
PERS Choice	241.24		482.48		627.23				
PERS Select	226.58		453.16		589.11				
PORAC <sup>†</sup>	119.00		119.00		119.00				
Sharp <sup>†</sup>	119.00		119.00		119.00				
United Healthcare*	254.15		508.30		660.79				
Waiving Coverage		2							
Total		4		5		4			

<sup>\*</sup> Plan was added to the CalPERS coverage menu in 2014. Authority employees and retirees may have switched to one of these new plans after the valuation data was received.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Employees who elect one of these plans will receive the PEMHCA minimum employer contribution (MEC), which is \$119 in 2014.



# Table 3A Summary of Retiree Benefit Provisions

**OPEB provided:** The Authority has indicated that the only OPEB provided is medical coverage.

**Access to coverage:** Medical coverage is currently provided through CalPERS as permitted under the Public Employees' Medical and Hospital Care Act (PEMHCA).

**Benefits provided:** As a PEMHCA employer, the Authority is obligated to contribute toward the cost of retiree medical coverage for the retiree's lifetime or until coverage is discontinued. The Authority maintains three resolutions, executed at differing dates, for the Administrative, Amalgamated Transit Union (ATU) and Teamster employee groups, respectively. For each of these groups, the Authority maintains an "unequal" resolution with CalPERS defining the level of the Authority's contribution toward the cost of medical plan premiums.

- ➤ Under the unequal resolution, the employer's contribution toward *retiree* medical benefits is determined by multiplying together the following three items: (1) 5% *multiplied by* (2) the number of prior years the employer has been contracted with PEMHCA *multiplied by* (3) the contribution the employer makes toward active employee health benefits.
- ➤ Note, however, that the monthly benefit may not be less than the required PEMHCA minimum employer contribution (MEC). The MEC was \$115 per month in 2013 and increased to 4119 per month in 2014. If the current benefits are not increased in the future, eventually the MEC will overtake the caps and become the operative benefit.

The Administrative and Teamster groups have each participated in the Authority's unequal resolutions for over 20 years. Therefore, the Authority contributes 100% of the applicable active subsidy to retirees in the Administrative and Teamster groups. The following two charts describe the subsidies provided to Administrative and Teamster retirees, varying by group and CalPERS medical plan:

Administrative Group							
Active and Retiree Monthly Subsidies by Plan							
Plan	Self	Self + 1	Self + Family				
Anthem HMO Traditional	\$494.86	\$989.71	\$1,286.63				
Anthem HMO Select	270.71	541.42	703.85				
Blue Shield	329.08	658.10	855.60				
Blue Shield Advantage	329.08	658.10	855.60				
Blue Shield NetValue	329.08	658.10	855.60				
Blue Shield NetValue Advantage	329.08	658.10	855.60				
Health Net	119.00	119.00	119.00				
Kaiser	303.56	607.12	789.26				
PERS Care	494.86	989.71	1,286.63				
PERS Choice	289.98	579.96	753.95				
PERS Select	270.71	541.42	703.85				
PORAC	119.00	119.00	119.00				
Sharp	119.00	119.00	119.00				
United Healthcare	305.56	607.12	789.26				



## **Summary of Retiree Benefit Provisions** (Continued)

Teamsters Active and Retiree Monthly Subsidies by Plan							
Plan Self Self + 1 Self + Fam							
Anthem HMO Traditional	\$374.92	\$749.83	\$974.78				
Anthem HMO Select	226.58	453.16	589.11				
Blue Shield	280.29	560.57	728.74				
Blue Shield Advantage	280.29	560.57	728.74				
Blue Shield NetValue	280.29	560.57	728.74				
Blue Shield NetValue Advantage	280.29	560.57	728.74				
Health Net	119.00	119.00	119.00				
Kaiser	254.15	508.30	660.79				
PERS Care	374.92	749.83	974.78				
PERS Choice	241.24	482.48	627.23				
PERS Select	226.58	453.16	589.11				
PORAC	119.00	119.00	119.00				
Sharp	119.00	119.00	119.00				
United Healthcare	254.15	508.30	660.79				

ATU's unequal resolution was executed in 2002; therefore, ATU has completed only 12 of the 20 year unequal phase-in period as of the valuation date. Thus, in 2013 the Authority contributed 60% of the active ATU subsidies to ATU retirees, which increased to 65% in 2014. Below are the active subsides for ATU employees, varying by plan:

Amalgamated Transit Union (ATU) Active Monthly Subsidies by Plan							
Plan	Self	Self + 1	Self + Family				
Anthem HMO Traditional	\$374.92	\$749.83	\$974.78				
Anthem HMO Select	233.59	467.18	607.34				
Blue Shield	266.47	532.93	692.81				
Blue Shield Advantage	266.47	532.93	692.81				
Blue Shield NetValue	266.47	532.93	692.81				
Blue Shield NetValue Advantage	266.47	532.93	692.81				
Health Net	119.00	119.00	119.00				
Kaiser	235.34	470.67	611.87				
PERS Care	374.92	749.83	974.78				
PERS Choice	241.24	482.48	627.23				
PERS Select	233.59	467.18	607.34				
PORAC	119.00	119.00	119.00				
Sharp	119.00	119.00	119.00				
United Healthcare	235.34	470.67	611.87				



### **Summary of Retiree Benefit Provisions** (Continued)

**Current premium rates:** The 2014 CalPERS monthly medical plan rates in the Bay Area rate group are shown in the table below. If different rates apply where the member resides outside of this area, those rates are reflected in the valuation, but not listed here. The additional CalPERS administration fee is assumed to be separately expensed each year and has not been projected as an OPEB liability in this valuation

Bay Area 2014 Health Plan Rates								
		s and Pre-Me		ľ	Medicare Elig	ible		
Plan	Ee Only	Ee & 1	Ee & 2+	Ee Only	Ee & 1	Ee & 2+		
Anthem HMO Select	\$ 657.33	\$ 1,314.66	\$ 1,709.06	\$ 341.12	\$ 682.24	\$ 1,076.64		
Anthem HMO Traditional	728.41	1,456.82	1,893.87	341.12	682.24	1,119.29		
Blue Shield Access/ Adv HMO	836.59	1,673.18	2,175.13	298.21	596.42	1,098.37		
Blue Shield NetValue/ Adv HMO	704.01	1,408.02	1,830.43	298.21	596.42	1,018.83		
Kaiser HMO	742.72	1,485.44	1,931.07	294.97	589.94	1,035.57		
UnitedHealthcare HMO	764.24	1,528.48	1,987.02	193.33	386.66	845.20		
PERS Choice PPO	690.77	1,381.54	1,796.00	307.23	614.46	1,028.92		
PERS Select PPO	661.52	1,323.04	1,719.95	307.23	614.46	1,011.37		
PERSCare PPO	720.04	1,440.08	1,872.10	327.36	654.72	1,086.74		
PORAC Association Plan	634.00	1,186.00	1,507.00	397.00	791.00	1,112.00		



# Table 3B General CalPERS Annuitant Eligibility Provisions

The content of this section has been drawn from Section C, Summary of Plan Provisions, of the State of California OPEB Valuation as of June 30, 2012, issued February 2013, to the State Controller from Gabriel Roeder & Smith. It is provided here as a brief summary of general annuitant and survivor coverage.

### Health Care Coverage

### Retired Employees

A member is eligible to enroll in a CalPERS health plan if he or she retires within 120 days of separation from employment and receives a monthly retirement allowance. If the member meets this requirement, he or she may continue his or her enrollment at retirement, enroll within 60 days of retirement, or enroll during any Open Enrollment period. If a member is currently enrolled in a CalPERS health plan and wants to continue enrollment into retirement, the employee will notify CalPERS and the member's coverage will continue into retirement.

Eligibility Exceptions: Certain family members are not eligible for CalPERS health benefits:

- Children age 26 or older
- Children's spouses
- Former spouses
- Never enrolled or disabled children over age 26

- Grandparents
- Parents
- Children of former spouses
- Other relatives

#### Coordination with Medicare

CalPERS retired members who qualify for premium-free Part A, either on their own or through a spouse (current, former, or deceased), must sign up for Part B as soon as they qualify for Part A. A member must then enroll in a CalPERS sponsored Medicare plan. The CalPERS-sponsored Medicare plan will pay for costs not paid by Medicare, by coordinating benefits.

#### Survivors of an Annuitant

If a CalPERS annuitant satisfied the requirement to retire within 120 days of separation, the survivor may be eligible to enroll within 60 days of the annuitant's death or during any future Open Enrollment period. Note: A survivor cannot add any new dependents; only dependents that were enrolled or eligible to enroll at the time of the member's death qualify for benefits.

Surviving registered domestic partners who are receiving a monthly annuity as a surviving beneficiary of a deceased employee or annuitant on or after January 1, 2002, are eligible to continue coverage if currently enrolled, enroll within 60 days of the domestic partner's death, or enroll during any future Open Enrollment period.

Surviving enrolled family members who do not qualify to continue their current coverage are eligible for continuation coverage under COBRA.





## Table 4 Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Valuation Date July 1, 2013

Funding Method Entry Age Normal Cost, level percent of pay<sup>3</sup>

Asset Valuation Method Market value of assets

Long Term Return on Assets 5.5%

Discount Rate 5.5%

Participants Valued Only current active employees and retired participants

and covered dependents are valued. No future entrants

are considered in this valuation.

Salary Increase 3.25% per year, used only to allocate the cost of

benefits between service years

Assumed Increase for

**Amortization Payments** 

3.25% per year where determined on a

percent of pay basis

General Inflation Rate 3.0% per year

The demographic actuarial assumptions used in this valuation are based on the (demographic) experience study of the California Public Employees Retirement System using data from 1997 to 2007. Rates for selected age and service are shown below and on the following pages.

Mortality Before Retirement

Mortality rates in the table below were projected by applying Scale AA on a fully generational basis.

Mis	CalPERS Public Agency Miscellaneous Non- Industrial Deaths only						
Age	Female						
15	0.00045	0.00006					
20	0.00047	0.00016					
30	0.00053	0.00036					
40	0.00087	0.00065					
50	0.00176	0.00126					
60	0.00395	0.00266					
70	0.00914	0.00649					
80	0.01527	0.01108					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The level percent of pay aspect of the funding method refers to how the normal cost is determined. Use of level percent of pay cost allocations in the funding method is separate from and has no effect on a decision regarding use of a level percent of pay or level dollar basis for determining amortization payments.



21

### Table 4 - Actuarial Methods and Assumptions (Continued)

Mortality After Retirement

Mortality rates in each of the tables below were projected by applying Scale AA on a fully generational basis.

**Healthy Lives** 

**Disabled Lives** 

CalP Miscell Post	nued)		
Age	Female		
40	0.00093	0.00062	
50	0.00239	0.00125	
60	0.00720	0.00431	
70	0.01675	0.01244	· ·
80	0.05270	0.03749	
90	0.16747	0.12404	
100	0.34551	0.31876	
110	1.00000	1.00000	

	CalPERS Public Agency Disabled Miscellaneous Post Retirement Mortality					
0000000	Age	Male	Female			
	20	0.00664	0.00478			
	30	0.00790	0.00512			
	40	0.01666	0.00674			
8	50	0.01632	0.01245			
	60	0.02293	0.01628			
	70	0.03870	0.03019			
	80	0.08388	0.05555			
	90	0.21554	0.14949			

**Termination Rates** 

For miscellaneous employees: sum of CalPERS Terminated Refund and Terminated Vested rates for miscellaneous employees – Illustrative rates

Attained	Years of Service							
Age	0	3	5	10	15	20		
15	0.1812	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
20	0.1742	0.1193	0.0946	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
25	0.1674	0.1125	0.0868	0.0749	0.0000	0.0000		
30	0.1606	0.1055	0.0790	0.0668	0.0581	0.0000		
35	0.1537	0.0987	0.0711	0.0587	0.0503	0.0450		
40	0.1468	0.0919	0.0632	0.0507	0.0424	0.0370		
45	0.1400	0.0849	0.0554	0.0427	0.0347	0.0290		

Service Retirement Rates

For miscellaneous employees hired before 1/1/2013: CalPERS Public Agency 2% @ 60 – Illustrative rates

Attained	Years of Service							
Age	5	10	15	20	25	30		
50	0.0110	0.0150	0.0180	0.0210	0.0230	0.0260		
55	0.0230	0.0320	0.0390	0.0440	0.0490	0.0550		
60	0.0620	0.0870	0.1050	0.1190	0.1330	0.1490		
65	0.1730	0.2430	0.2960	0.3340	0.3730	0.4180		
70	0.1270	0.1780	0.2160	0.2440	0.2730	0.3060		
75 & over	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000		



### **Table 4 - Actuarial Methods and Assumptions** (Continued)

Service Retirement Rates (Concluded)

For miscellaneous employees hired on or after 1/1/2013: CalPERS Public Agency 2% @ 62 – Illustrative rates

Attained	Years of Service						
Age	5	10	15	20	25	30	
50	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
55	0.0440	0.0560	0.0680	0.0800	0.0920	0.1040	
60	0.0616	0.0784	0.0952	0.1120	0.1288	0.1456	
65	0.1287	0.1638	0.1989	0.2340	0.2691	0.3042	
70	0.1254	0.1596	0.1938	0.2280	0.2622	0.2964	
75 & over	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	

Disability Retirement Rates

Illustrative rates:

CalPERS Public Agency Miscellaneous Disability						
Age	Male	Female				
25	0.00010	0.00010				
30	0.00021	0.00020				
35	0.00063	0.00088				
40	0.00145	0.00164				
45	0.00252	0.00243				
50	0.00331	0.00311				
55	0.00366	0.00306				
60	0.00377	0.00253				

Healthcare Trend Rate

Medical plan premiums are assumed to increase once each year. The increases over the prior year's levels are assumed to be effective on the dates shown in the chart below:

Effective Jan 1	Premium Increase	Effective Jan 1	Premium Increase
2014	Actual	2020	6.00%
2015	8.50%	2021	5.50%
2016	8.00%	2022	5.00%
2017	7.50%	2023	4.50%
2018	7.00%	2024	4.50%
2019	6.50%	2025 & later	4.64%

**Employer Cost Sharing** 

Where the Authority contribution is defined as (or based on) as a fixed dollar amount, we have assumed no increase in the fixed dollar amount(s).



## Table 4 - Actuarial Methods and Assumptions (Continued)

Participation Rate

Active participants: The following chart shows the percent of current active employees who are assumed to elect medical coverage in retirement:

Percent of Current Active Employees Assumed to Elect Medical Coverage in Retirement								
Age at Coverage & In Percent Electing Percent Electing Coverage & Coverage Electing Coverage Electing								
Admin	Under 65	80%	2.0%	45%				
Admin	65 or older	100%	1.5%	60%				
ATU	Under 65	65%	2.0% *	45%				
ATU	65 or older	80%	1.5% *	60%				
Teamster	Under 65	75%	2.0%	45%				
Teamster	65 or older	100%	1.5%	60%				

\* Decreased election percents for future ATU retirees are assumed to begin in 2020, since the retiree benefit level gradually increases until then.

The applicable percentages above are multiplied by .75 to arrive at the percentages for future retirees currently waiving medical coverage through CCCTA.

Retired participants: Existing medical plan elections are assumed to be maintained until the retiree's death.

Active employees: 85% are assumed to be married at retirement and 70% of married employees are assumed to elect coverage for their spouse in retirement. Surviving spouses are assumed to retain coverage until their death. Husbands are assumed to be 3 years older than their wives.

Retired participants: Existing elections for spouse coverage are assumed to be maintained until the spouse's death. Actual spouse ages are used, where known; if not, husbands are assumed to be 3 years older than their wives.

Active employees: 30% are assumed to cover dependents other than a spouse under age 26 at retirement; eligibility for coverage for the youngest dependent is assumed to end at the retiree's age 63.

Retired participants covering dependent children are assumed to end such coverage when the youngest currently covered dependent reaches age 26.

Spouse Coverage

Dependent Coverage



## Table 4 - Actuarial Methods and Assumptions (Concluded)

Medicare Eligibility Absent contrary data, all individuals are assumed to be

eligible for Medicare Parts A and B at age 65.

**Changes Since the Prior Valuation:** 

Demographic assumptions Rates of assumed mortality, termination, disability and

retirement rates were updated from those provided in the CalPERS 2002 experience study to those developed from the CalPERS 2007 experience study. Explicit costs for

potential disability benefits were included.

Mortality Future improvement in mortality rates was projected by

applying Scale AA on a fully generational basis to the rates published in the 1997-2007 CalPERS Experience

Study.

Healthcare trend Medical plan premiums are assumed to increase at

slightly higher rates than were assumed in the prior

valuation.

The required PEMHCA minimum employer contribution (MEC) is assumed to increase at a constant 4.5% rate rather than what may have been a decreasing rate table with an ultimate 5% rate. (This assumes a minimum of the

MEC was taken into account in the prior valuation; we are

not sure that it was.)

Dependent Coverage Due to more favorable and longer eligibility for dependent

children, this valuation includes projected OPEB costs for

dependents of current (and future) retirees.

Spouse Coverage The percentage of married active employees who are assumed to elect coverage for their spouse in retirement

was increased slightly to 59.5% (i.e., 85% are married and 70% of married retirees elect to cover their spouse), from

56%.

Participation Rate The assumed percentage of active employees assumed

to elect medical coverage in retirement was modified from flat percentages (80% for Administration and Teamsters and 50% for ATU) to the percentages described in the

table in the Participation Rate section above.

### Table 5 Projected Benefit Payments

The following is an estimate of other post-employment benefits to be paid on behalf of current retirees and current employees expected to retire from the Authority.

- No benefits expected to be paid on behalf of current active employees prior to retirement are considered in this projection.
- No benefits for potential future employees have been included.

Expected annual benefits have been projected on the basis of the actuarial assumptions outlined in Table 4.

Projected Annual Benefit Payments							
Fiscal Year							
Ending	Current	Future					
June 30	Retirees	Retirees	Total				
2014	\$ 129,023	\$ 43,662	\$ 172,685				
2015	132,155	87,161	219,316				
2016	134,540	129,325	263,865				
2017	136,128	170,317	306,445				
2018	137,332	212,479	349,811				
2019	138,131	251,628	389,759				
2020	138,498	288,697	427,195				
2021	136,128	326,009	462,137				
2022	135,367	364,144	499,511				
2023	130,701	388,546	519,247				



# Appendix 1A Breakout of Valuation Results by Group Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2014

The chart below breaks out the valuation results for 3 employee groups for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014. Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is on the same basis as described in Section F and in Table 1B.

	Admin	ATU	Teamsters	Total	
Approach	Prefunding Basis				
Interest Rate	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	
Amortization method	Level % of Pay	Level % of Pay	Level % of Pay	Level % of Pay	
Remaining amortization period (in years)	30	30	30	30	
Number of Covered Employees					
Actives	48	174	11	233	
Retirees	16	20	2	38	
Total Participants	64	194	13	271	
Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits	Ф 4 <b>5</b> 0 <b>7</b> 000	Ф 0 707 000	ф о <u>го</u> оог	ф 5 0 4 <del>7</del> 5 4 0	
Actives Retirees	\$ 1,507,223	\$ 3,787,268	\$ 353,025	\$ 5,647,516	
Total APVPB	740,669 2,247,892	840,088 4,627,356	110,940 463,965	1,691,697 7,339,213	
	2,247,092	4,027,330	403,903	7,559,215	
Actuarial Accrued Liability Actives	1,119,153	2,796,983	268,109	4,184,245	
Retirees	740,669	840,088	110,940	1,691,697	
Total AAL	1,859,822	3,637,071	379,049	5,875,942	
Actuarial Value of Assets	369,002	721,622	75,206	1,165,830	
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	1,490,820	2,915,449	303,843	4,710,112	
Amortization Factor	22.3306	22.3306	22.3306	22.3306	
Annual Required Contribution (ARC)					
Normal Cost	65,830	145,108	16,273	227,211	
Amortization of UAAL	66,761	130,558	13,607	210,926	
Interest to 6/30/2014	7,293	15,162	1,643	24,098	
ARC for Fiscal Year End 6/30/2014	139,884	290,828	31,523	462,235	
1. Calculation of the Annual OPEB Expense					
a. ARC for current fiscal year	139,884	290,828	31,523	462,235	
<ul> <li>b. Interest on Net OPEB Obligation (Asset)</li> <li>at beginning of year</li> </ul>	56	109	11	176	
c. Adjustment to the ARC	(48)	(94)	(10)	(152)	
d. Annual OPEB Expense (a. + b. + c.)	139,892	290,843	31,524	462,259	
2. Calculation of Expected Contribution					
a. Estimated payments on behalf of retirees	85,032	74,633	13,020	172,685	
b. Estimated contribution to OPEB trust	54,852	216,195	18,503	289,550	
c. Total Expected Employer Contribution	139,884	290,828	31,523	462,235	
3. Change in Net OPEB Obligation (1.d. minus 2.c.)	8	15	1	24	
Net OPEB Obligation (Asset), beginning of fiscal year	1,016	1,986	207	3,209	
Net OPEB Obligation (Asset) at fiscal year end	1,024	2,001	208	3,233	



# Appendix 1B Breakout of Valuation Results by Group Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2015

The chart below breaks out the valuation results for 3 employee groups for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015. Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is on the same basis as described in Section F and in Table 1B.

	Admin	ATU	Teamsters	Total	
Approach	Prefunding Basis				
Interest Rate	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	
Amortization method	Level % of Pay	Level % of Pay	Level % of Pay	Level % of Pay	
Remaining amortization period (in years)	29	29	29	29	
Number of Covered Employees					
Actives	48	174	11	233	
Retirees Total Participants	16 64	20 194	2 13	38 271	
	04	194	13	271	
Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits Actives	\$ 1,573,056	\$ 3,972,841	\$ 368,570	\$ 5,914,467	
Retirees	713,438	834,386	107,893	1,655,717	
Total APVPB	2,286,494	4,807,227	476,463	7,570,184	
Actuarial Accrued Liability					
Actives	1,233,093	3,081,179	296,152	4,610,424	
Retirees	713,438	834,386	107,893	1,655,717	
Total AAL	1,946,531	3,915,565	404,045	6,266,141	
Actuarial Value of Assets	472,022	949,501	97,978	1,519,501	
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	1,474,509	2,966,064	306,067	4,746,640	
Amortization Factor	21.7954	21.7954	21.7954	21.7954	
Annual Required Contribution (ARC)					
Normal Cost	67,969	149,824	16,802	234,595	
Amortization of UAAL Interest to 6/30/2015	67,652 7,459	136,086 15,726	14,043 1,696	217,781 24,881	
ARC for Fiscal Year End 6/30/2015	143,080	301,636	32,541	477,257	
Calculation of the Annual OPEB Expense	143,000	301,030	32,341	477,237	
a. ARC for current fiscal year	143,080	301,636	32,541	477,257	
b. Interest on Net OPEB Obligation (Asset)	1 10,000	001,000	02,011	,201	
at beginning of year	56	111	11	178	
c. Adjustment to the ARC	(50)	(97)	(10)	(157)	
d. Annual OPEB Expense (a. + b. + c.)	143,086	301,650	32,542	477,278	
2. Calculation of Expected Contribution	400,000	00.000	40.750	040.040	
<ul><li>a. Estimated payments on behalf of retirees</li><li>b. Estimated contribution to OPEB trust</li></ul>	102,622 40,458	99,938 201,698	16,756 15,785	219,316 257,941	
c. Total Expected Employer Contribution	143,080	301,636	32,541	477,257	
3. Change in Net OPEB Obligation (1.d. minus 2.c.)	6	14	1	21	
Net OPEB Obligation (Asset), beginning of fiscal year	1,024	2,001	208	3,233	
Net OPEB Obligation (Asset) at fiscal year end	1,030	2,015	209	3,254	



### Appendix 2 OPEB Disclosure Information

The Information necessary to complete the OPEB footnote in the Authority's financial reports is summarized below, or we note the location of the information contained elsewhere in this report:

Summary of Plan Provisions: See Table 3A

OPEB Funding Policy: See Section F; details are provided also at the top

of the exhibit in Table 1B

Annual OPEB Cost and

Net OPEB Obligation: See Table 1C

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions: See Table 4.

Funding Status and

Funding Progress: See Section E – Basic Valuation Results

Schedule of Funding Progress							
			Unfunded			UAAL as a	
	Actuarial	Actuarial	Actuarial			Percentage	
Actuarial	Value of	Accrued	Accrued		Covered	of Covered	
Valuation	Assets	Liability	Liability	Funded Ratio	Payroll	Payroll	
Date	(a)	(b)	(b-a)	(a/b)	(c)	((b-a)/c)	
7/1/2009	\$ -	\$ 4,534,658	\$ 4,534,658	0%	\$ 15,219,990	29.79%	
7/1/2011	\$ 790,158	\$ 7,322,135	\$ 6,531,977	11%	\$ 13,510,453	48.35%	
7/1/2013	\$ 1,165,830	\$ 5,875,942	\$ 4,710,112	20%	\$ 12,017,071	39.20%	

Required Supplementary Information: Three Year History of Amounts Funded See chart below:

OPEB Cost Contributed								
Percentage of								
	Employer Annual OPEB Net OPEB							
Fiscal Year	Anr	nual OPEB		OPEB	Cost	С	bligation	
Ended		Cost	Contributions		Contributed		(Asset)	
6/30/2011	\$	233,920	\$	233,307	100%	\$	11,963	
6/30/2012	\$	343,593	\$	352,347	103%	\$	3,209	
6/30/2013	\$	343,984	\$	343,984	100%	\$	3,209	
6/30/2014	\$	462,259	\$	462,235	100%	\$	3,233	
6/30/2015	\$	477,278	\$	477,257	100%	\$	3,254	

Italicized values above are estimates which may change if contributions are other than projected.



### **Glossary**

<u>Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)</u> – Total dollars required to fund all plan benefits attributable to service rendered as of the valuation date for current plan members and vested prior plan members; see "Actuarial Present Value"

<u>Actuarial Funding Method</u> – A procedure which calculates the actuarial present value of plan benefits and expenses, and allocates these expenses to time periods, typically as a normal cost and an actuarial accrued liability

<u>Actuarial Present Value (APV)</u> – The amount presently required to fund a payment or series of payments in the future, it is determined by discounting the future payments by an appropriate interest rate and the probability of nonpayment.

<u>Aggregate</u> – An actuarial funding method under which the excess of the actuarial present value of projected benefits over the actuarial accrued liability is levelly spread over the earnings or service of the group forward from the valuation date to the assumed exit date, based not on individual characteristics but rather on the characteristics of the group as a whole

Annual Required Contribution (ARC) – The amount the employer would contribute to a defined benefit OPEB plan for a given year, it is the sum of the normal cost and some amortization (typically 30 years) of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability

<u>Annual OPEB Expense</u> – The OPEB expense reported in the Agency's financial statement, which is comprised of three elements: the ARC, interest on the net OPEB obligation at the beginning of the year and an ARC adjustment.

Attained Age Normal Cost (AANC) – An actuarial funding method where, for each plan member, the excess of the actuarial present value of benefits over the actuarial accrued liability (determined under the unit credit method) is levelly spread over the individual's projected earnings or service forward from the valuation date to the assumed exit date

<u>CalPERS</u> – Many state governments maintain a public employee retirement system; CalPERS is the California program, covering all eligible state government employees as well as other employees of other governments within California who have elected to join the system

<u>Defined Benefit (DB)</u> – A pension or OPEB plan which defines the monthly income or other benefit which the plan member receives at or after separation from employment

<u>Defined Contribution (DC)</u> – A pension or OPEB plan which establishes an individual account for each member and specifies how contributions to each active member's account are determined and the terms of distribution of the account after separation from employment

<u>Entry Age Normal Cost (EANC)</u> – An actuarial funding method where, for each individual, the actuarial present value of benefits is levelly spread over the individual's projected earnings or service from entry age to assumed exit age





## Glossary (Continued)

<u>Frozen Attained Age Normal Cost (FAANC)</u> – An actuarial funding method under which the excess of the actuarial present value of projected benefits over the actuarial accrued liability (determined under the unit credit method) is levelly spread over the earnings or service of the group forward from the valuation date to the assumed exit date, based not on individual characteristics but rather on the characteristics of the group as a whole

<u>Frozen Entry Age Normal Cost (FEANC)</u> – An actuarial funding method under which the excess of the actuarial present value of projected benefits over the actuarial accrued liability (determined under the entry age normal cost method) is levelly spread over the earnings or service of the group forward from the valuation date to the assumed exit date, based not on individual characteristics but rather on the characteristics of the group as a whole

<u>Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB)</u> – A private, not-for-profit organization designated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) to develop generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for U.S. public corporations

<u>Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB)</u> – A private, not-for-profit organization which develops generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for U.S. state and local governments; like FASB, it is part of the Financial Accounting Foundation (FAF), which funds each organization and selects the members of each board

<u>Net OPEB Obligation (Asset)</u> - The net OPEB obligation (NOO) represents the accumulated shortfall of OPEB funding since GASB 45 was implemented. If cumulative contributions have exceeded the sum of the prior years' annual OPEB expenses, then a net OPEB asset results.

Non-Industrial Disability (NID) – Unless specifically contracted by the individual Agency, PAM employees are assumed to be subject to only non-industrial disabilities.

Normal Cost – Total dollar value of benefits expected to be earned by plan members in the current year, as assigned by the chosen funding method; also called current service cost

Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) – Post-employment benefits other than pension benefits, most commonly healthcare benefits but also including life insurance if provided separately from a pension plan

<u>Pay-As-You-Go (PAYGO)</u> – Contributions to the plan are made at about the same time and in about the same amount as benefit payments and expenses coming due

<u>PEMHCA</u> – The Public Employees' Medical and Hospital Care Act, established by the California legislature in 1961, provides community-rated medical benefits to participating public employers. Among its extensive regulations are the requirements that medical insurance contributions for retired annuitants and paid for by a contracting Agency be equal to the medical insurance contributions paid for its active employees, and that a contracting Agency file a resolution, adopted by its governing body, with the CalPERS Board establishing any new contribution.



## Glossary (Concluded)

<u>Projected Unit Credit (PUC)</u> – An actuarial funding method where, for each individual, the projected plan benefit is allocated by a consistent formula from entry date to assumed exit date

<u>Public Agency Miscellaneous (PAM)</u> – Actuarial assumptions used by CalPERS for most non-safety public employees.

<u>Select and Ultimate</u> – Actuarial assumptions which contemplate rates which differ by year initially (the select period) and then stabilize at a constant long-term rate (the ultimate rate)

<u>Trend</u> – The healthcare cost trend rate, defined as the rate of change in per capita health claims costs over time as a result of factors such as medical inflation, utilization of healthcare services, plan design and technological developments

<u>Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)</u> – The excess of the actuarial accrued liability over the actuarial value of plan assets

<u>Unit Credit (UC)</u> -- An actuarial funding method where, for each individual, the unprojected plan benefit is allocated by a consistent formula from entry date to assumed exit date

<u>Vesting</u> – As defined by the plan, requirements which when met make a plan benefit nonforfeitable on separation of service before retirement eligibility





## **INTER OFFICE MEMO**

To: Administration and Finance Committee Date: March 26, 2014

From: Anne Muzzini, Director of Planning & Marketing Reviewed by:

## **Subject: Creation of an Eco Pass**

## **Summary:**

The concept of creating an Eco Pass has been reviewed by the MP&L Committee where they determined that it was a good idea and worth pursuing from a marketing perspective. At the Board review in February many members expressed support because the Eco Pass could help Cities and developers meet their requirement to have traffic mitigation strategies. The A&F Committee has been asked to review the concept in terms of its financial viability. One issue that staff was asked to address was fraudulent use of eco passes.

## What is an Eco Pass?

Many transit agencies offer bulk discount passes that enable employers, developers, and neighborhoods to purchase large quantities of an annual transit pass. AC Transit has an "Easy Pass" that costs \$121 per year if you buy at least 100 passes. In Santa Clara, the Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) sells their "Residential Eco Pass" for \$120 a year with a minimum of 25 passes. In Boulder Colorado they have a neighborhood pricing and an employer pricing for an annual pass that ranges between \$80 and \$146 depending on the volume.

In Boulder the Eco Pass program has evolved to include neighborhood associations as well as employers. A group of neighbors can go in together to purchase bulk volume of the annual passes and get the discount. In Salt Lake City, residents will be able to purchase an annual bus pass and have the cost spread out monthly and included in their utility bill.

## Viability of Steep Discount

Eco passes offered by others are priced around \$100 a year which is significantly less than if a person purchased 12 monthly passes which in our case go for \$60 each. The reason this steep discount makes financial sense is that when an employer or residential developer purchases passes for everyone only a percentage (10 - 16%) take advantage of the benefit and use the bus. The programs are structured so that all

employees or residents count toward the bulk purchase, not just those who want the pass. If there are 110 units the developer would need to purchase passes for all units.

## Does it work?

When Cambridge Systematics studied the AC Transit pilot program in 2008 they found that when 1,500 residents the transit oriented development were given transit passes, 23% tried using AC Transit for the first time and 50% of them used transit more.

A Nelson/Nygaard evaluation of the VTA program in 2006 found that when a pass was provided by the employer or home owner association there was a 16% decrease in the number of people who drove and a 16% increase in use of transit for work trips.

In 2012 VTA analyzed their Eco Pass program to determine how well it was working and found that 13% of all bus boardings were with the pass and 16% of all revenue was generated through the pass program. The average fare revenue per passenger trip \$0.67 was lower than the Board goal for the program set at \$1.57 per passenger trip.

## Examples

On example where extensive traffic reduction strategies are being employed is Green Village in Berkeley. Transit passes (AC's Easy Pass), bike link cards, car share memberships will be given to new residents and there is zero car parking.

3800 San Pablo in Emeryville is another project that has been "green trip" certified by Transform. The project completed last year provides transit passes (AC's Easy Pass), car sharing, and bike sharing on site.

Fourth Street Family Apartments in San Jose is a 100 unit apartment complex that gives transit passes (VTA's Eco Pass) to all the residents.

A developer in Contra Costa, RCD (Resources for Community Development) is interested in offering transit passes to the future residents of Riviera Family Apartments north of the WC BART station.

A full list of projects in the Bay Area that have been certified as Green Trip projects can be found at: http://www.transformca.org/GreenTRIP/certified-projects

## Fraud

On way to eliminate fraud is to create an eco pass that has a photo on it. In Denver they require photos and have the following process established to reduce fraud.

Before heading down to one of our photo locations, employees must arrive with a driver's license or a Colorado state I.D. The employee must also present a completed, signed and dated official RTD authorization form from the employer on company letterhead. Authorization forms must be dated within 30 days of the photo appointment. The EcoPass photo I.D. will not be valid until a current decal is placed on the EcoPass. Decals must be applied by an authorized employer representative or by RTD staff.

VTA in Santa Clara has worked out a system where the photo ID is on the back of the Clipper card and employers are able to activate and deactivate cards.



## Why create a County Connection Eco Pass now?

Cities and developers are focused on including trip reduction strategies in their plans to comply with the new transit oriented development requirements, and to qualify for low interest loan programs through the California Dept. of Housing and Community Development. For example, for Prop 1C Transit Oriented Development Funds you can score extra points if you offer transit passes for each unit. Transform, an organization that supports "green" development and is partially funded by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) has developed a Green Trip certification program in

the Bay Area that rewards multi-family and mixed use projects that have traffic reduction strategies; one of these being a requirement that each unit gets 2 transit passes for a 40 year period of time.

As Cities make plans for their Priority Development Areas (PDA's) there is an opportunity to include traffic reduction strategies such as a transit pass program. Creating an Eco Pass gives us something to offer Cities, developers, and employers who are looking for traffic reduction options.

As a result of SB1339 the BAAQMD and MTC are able to require employers with more than 50 employees to provide a commute benefit. An Eco Pass program would be an option for employers who want a tax deductible commuter benefit.

## Pass Use Estimates and Impact on Pricing

Determining an Eco Pass price that is revenue neutral depends upon the amount of transit trips taken by Eco Pass holders. It is difficult to know in advance what this will be and it is likely to vary depending on the employer, neighborhood, or residential development that is making the bulk purchase.

## Bishop Ranch Experience

We can look to Bishop Ranch to see how the pass benefit translates into bus rides. They have approximately 30,000 employees that are offered the transit pass; but only 3,500 (12%) pick one up. We track the trips taken by Bishop Ranch pass holders and know that they equaled 203,528 last year (FY2012-13); an average of 58 trips a year for each pass holder. This is low use on average however we know that a share of the pass holders use it every day to commute. Therefore many are keeping in their pocket and not using it regularly.

If we look at it from a different angle there were 844 weekday trips in October paid for with the Bishop Ranch pass. If these represent a worker going to and from the office, then we're seeing 422 individuals taking a round trip. We can estimate that of the 3,500 pass holders only 422 (12%) use it for their commute.

The share of pass use and transit ridership is confirmed by the Boulder statistics that have found that there is approximately 10% of transit use when all employees and neighbors are given the pass.

## Pricing and Ridership Math

Current Monthly Pass
Cost = \$60/month
Estimated Trips/Month = 42 (21 weekdays x 2 trips per day)
Fare Revenue per Trip = \$1.43

The following examples illustrate what the fare revenue per trip would be given various assumptions. All use the example of a 55 unit complex purchasing 2 passes per unit for a total of 110 passes. If pass use is low then the fare revenue per trip is high and if pass use is high then fare revenue per trip is low.

Eco Pass Scenario 1
(100% use the pass; current profile of ridership frequency – 60% use it 5 days a week)
Cost per Pass = \$140
Total Passes = 110
Total Cost = \$15,400
Trips per Year = 43,542
Fare Revenue per Trip = \$0.35

Eco Pass Scenario 2
(20% use the pass; current profile of ridership frequency)
Total Cost = \$15,400
Trips per Year = 8,708
Fare Revenue per Trip = \$1.77

Eco Pass Scenario 3
(Bishop Ranch profile: 12% use the pass; 12% of them use it often)
Total Cost = \$13,200
Trips per Year = 765
Fare Revenue per Trip = \$17.25

## Other Issues – Title 6 and Clipper

Title 6 - There is no way to know the impact of an Eco Pass on minority and low income riders until after the program is implemented. This fare structure change is unlike a service change where we know who will be impacted. It is unlike the midday free fare change because we can't use the onboard survey demographic data. The best way to comply with Title 6 would be to adopt the program on a demonstration basis, then analyze the use patterns and demographics of the riders using the pass.

Clipper - The clipper card has been combined with the Eco pass at VTA and with the Bishop Ranch employer pass. In VTA's case it tracks use and in Bishop Ranch's case the card is personalized to be used as a flash pass as well as a stored value card.

## Implementation

In all cases a contract is executed between the Eco pass purchaser and the transit agency. Often there is a sliding scale pricing for volume and length of contract. Sometimes there are minimums set for volume and contract length. To start with staff recommends that the program be made as simple as possible with one price, a minimum volume of 50 passes, and a contract term of one year. The price for the pass can be adjusted each year as ridership patterns become available. The price would need to be adjusted if the average fare per passenger dropped below a goal of meeting the average fare per passenger received from monthly pass purchasers, which is currently \$1.43/trip.

To implement the plan it will be necessary to create marketing materials, develop a boilerplate contract, set up procedures for photo taking and pass distribution, and establish a performance measurement system.

## **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends that the A&F Committee discuss and review the Eco Pass program and develop recommendations for the Board.





## Inter Office Nemo

To:

ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Date: March 27, 2014

From:

Kathy Casenave, Director of Finance

Reviewed by:

## SUBJECT: Second Draft, FY 2015 Budget

## FY 2015 Proposed Budget & FY 2014 Estimated Actual

The FY 2015 proposed operating budget totals \$34,983,566. Of this, fixed route is \$29,579,057 and Paratransit is \$5,404,509. The budget also includes a contingency line item of \$500,000.

- Fixed route expenses for FY 2015 are projected to be \$1,395,537 (5%) more than the estimated actual for FY 2014.
- Paratransit expenses are projected to be \$149,965 (2.9%) more than the estimate actual for FY 2014.

The FY 2014 operating budget is \$33,873,906 which included a contingency line item of \$500,000. The actual operating expenses are projected to be <u>under budget</u> by \$935,842 (2.8%).

- Fixed route expenses for FY 2014 are estimated to be \$213,340 (.8%) less than the budget.
- Paratransit expenses for FY 2014 are estimated to be \$222,502 (4.1%) less than the budget.
- The \$500,000 contingency expense will not be used.

## Significant Variances- (The \* denotes a change from the March draft)

## **Operating Expenses Page 2-**

Fixed route operating expenses for FY 2015 are budgeted to be \$1.4 million more than FY 2014 estimated actual. Significant increases include:

- Wages, \$577K (4.7%)
- Fringe benefits \$271K (3.3%)- chiefly cafeteria plan; also increases in PERS, paid absences, workers comp
- Materials, \$518K-\$375K diesel fuel; \$91K repair parts.
- Purchased transportation-Fixed route, \$99K- Full year of service for Alamo Creek. This service will be reimbursed.
- Purchased transportation-Paratransit, \$151K- due to increase in service hours and estimated contract increase.

Paratransit operating expenses for FY 2015 are budgeted to be \$150K more than FY 2014 due to estimated increase in contract for purchased transportation.

## (The \* denotes a change from the March draft)

*	FY 2014 Operating Expense Changes	<ul> <li>FY 2014 fixed route estimated actual expenses have been decreased by \$107K.</li> <li>Wages have been decreased by \$218K, mainly in the Maintenance dept. because of unfilled positions, but also one janitor position in Bldg. Maint has only recently been filled</li> <li>Benefits have been increased by \$111K. There are several components to this- an increase of \$18K in compensated absences, and increase of \$112K in the OPEB trust ARC, and various other changes to FICA, PERS, etc. resulting in a \$18K decrease.</li> <li>FY 2014 Paratransit expenses have been decreased by \$1K, mainly in wages/benefits categories</li> </ul>
*	FY 2015 Operating Expense Changes	<ul> <li>FY 2015 fixed budgeted expenses have been decreased by \$60K.</li> <li>Wages have been decreased by \$207K. Operator wages were decreased by \$188K, Bldg Maint, by \$32K, and Mechanics/service workers increased by \$13K.</li> <li>Fringe benefits have been increased by \$147K. The increase is mainly for the OPEB trust, \$127K, PERS &amp; FICA expense, \$20K.</li> <li>FY 2015 Paratransit expenses have increased \$100 in the benefit category.</li> </ul>

## Operating Revenues Pg 3-

*	FY 2014 Revenue Changes	Fixed route TDA revenue used has been decreased by \$385K and preventive maintenance has been increased by \$278K for a total reduction in revenue of \$107K.  Paratransit TDA revenue used has been decreased by \$aK to match decrease in expenses projected above.  There have been no other revenue changes.
*	FY 2015 Revenue Changes	Fixed route TDA revenue used has been decreased by \$41K and Measure J has been decreased by \$19K based on latest information from CCTA. This matches the reduction in expenses detailed above.  FTA Section 5307 revenue has been increased by \$594K based on MTC's decision to change the formula for allocating Paratransit operating revenue. This new formula will improve the revenue stream for Paratransit operations in the foreseeable future and staff has used this as a base year for the 10 year forecast.  Measure J has been decrease by \$6K based on new information from CCTA. TDA revenue used has been decreased by \$587K because of the increase in FTA operating revenue.

## Key Assumptions for the Ten Year Forecast-Page 8- (The \* denotes a change from the March draft)

	Passenger Fares	Passenger fares are increased 2% annually for Fixed route and 3% for Paratransit. Fares increases are projected for FY 2016, 2019, and 2022.
	STA revenue	STA revenue for FY 2014 is estimated by MTC; a 2.5% growth rate is assumed in the out years.
*	Measure J	Measure J is projected to grow at the rate used in the Contra Costa Transportation Authority's revised Measure J Strategic Plan published in July 2011- 4.03% for FY 2016-FY 2019, and 4.54% for FY 2012 and beyondThere is a slight change in the out years because the revenue projection for FY 2015 has been lowered slightly. (See above)
*	Federal Paratransit Operating	The change in the formula allocation has dramatically improved the revenue stream for operations. The result for this 10 year forecast is an increase of \$6.9 million. The growth rate used for the outyears is 2%.
*	TDA Revenue Used, Line 9	Total TDA used for fixed route operations has been decreased by \$6.9 million due to the increase in federal Paratransit operating revenue.

## TDA Reserve, Page 10

The TDA reserve is \$11.689 million at the end of FY 2023. This is an increase of \$6.9 million from the March 2014 draft, and is due to the increase in Paratransit operating. The other changes presented above were minor and had very little effect on the ending TDA reserve.

Staff has begun the process of reviewing the out years of the capital plan and will bring to the committee at the next meeting.

## **ACTION REQUESTED:**

Staff requests that the A&F Committee forward the draft to the Board of Directors with a recommendation for approval so a timely TDA claim can be prepared.

# **DRAFT**Operating and Capital Budget

Fiscal Year 2015



**CENTRAL CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT AUTHORITY** 

Concord, California

April 2, 2014

## CENTRAL CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT AUTHORITY FY 2015 Budget Table of Contents

11-22	Derating Expense Detail
10	DA Reserve
8-9	en Year Financial Forecast
7	en Year Capital Program
6	àpital Program-Budget Year
<b>C</b> 1	taffing
4	Revenue Source Utilization
ω	Derating Revenue
2	Dperating Expense
_	3udget Summary
Page	

## CENTRAL CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT AUTHORITY FY 2015 BUDGET SUMMARY

		ΕS	EST/ACTUAL		APPROVED	2	PROPOSED	FY 2014 BUD
			FY 2014		BUDGET FY 2014	% VARIANCE	BUDGET FY 2015	OVER/(UNDER) EST ACT
Operations	ζ.							•
	Fixed Route	↔	27,683,853	↔	28,396,860	-2.5% \$	29,579,057	6.8%
	Paratransit	\$	5,254,544	↔	5,477,046	4.1% \$		2.9%
	Subtotal	↔	32,938,397 \$	↔	33,873,906	-2.8% \$		6.2%
Capital								
	Fixed Route	↔	19,403,000 \$	↔	24,755,700	-21.6% \$	21,977,540	13.3%
	Paratransit	S	1			€	358,938	100.0%
	Subtotal	\$	19,403,000 \$	↔	24,755,700	-21.6% \$	22	15.1%
	Grand Total	es	52.341.397 \$	59	58.629.606	-10 7% <b>\$</b>	57.320 044	o 5%

## CENTRAL CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT AUTHORITY FY 2015 BUDGET- OPERATING EXPENDITURES

149,965	\$ 34 983 566	-4.1% -2.8%	(222,302) 6 (935,509)	\$ 33,873,906 \$	\$ 32,938,397		Total
۰	5 AOA 50	A 1%	(222 502)	5.477.046	5.254.544	5.125.999	Subtotal
6	5,258,036	-4.2%	(225,413)	5,337,382	5,111,969	4,988,865	Total Other Expenses
0.0	5,210,386	4.1%	(215,881)	5,274,630	5,058,749	4,947,725	Purchased transportation
<b>-</b>	930	0.0%	0	920	920	(155)	Miscellaneous
<u> </u>	009	0.0%	, O (	600	600	330	Taxes
0	20,800	4.7%	(1,000)	21,300	20,300	18,652	Utilities
0	3,800	23.3%	700	3,000	3,700	3,500	Materials and supplies
0	21,520	-25.0%	(9,232)	36,932	27,700	18,813	Services
N	146,472	2.1%	2,911	139,664	142,575	137,134	l otal Wages and benefits
Ġ	53,155	-1.8%	(889)	48,664	47,775	45,555	Fringe benefits
7	93,317	4.2%	3,800	91,000	94,800	91,579	Wages
		٠					Paratransit
Ň	29,579,057	-2.5%	(713,007)	28,396,860	27,683,853	25,715,047	Subtotal
Ō	500,000	-100.0%	(500,000)	500,000			Contingency
- 71	29,079,057	-0.8%	(213,007)	27,896,860	27,683,853	25,715,047	Subtotal
N	7,606,612	-5.1%	(377,223)	7,436,983	7,059,760	6,424,986	Total Other Expenses
0	262,410	64.4%	63,960	99,290	163,250	96,822	Purchased transportation _
ō	129,700	1.1%	1,388	129,300	130,688	129,039	Miscellaneous
ō	40,700	-3.6%	(1,480)	40,700	39,220	38,175	Leases and rentals
5	325,000	2.6%	8,334	315,000	323,334	318,777	Taxes
ຜ	471,873	6.0%	25,881	427,843	453,724	381,485	Casualty and liability
ŏ	322,000	-6.5%	(20,571)	318,000	297,429	285,811	Utilities
čř	3,964,935	-10.6%	(407,048)	3,853,240	3,446,192	3,134,276	Materials and supplies
×	2,089,994	-2.1%	(47,687)	2,253,610	2,205,923	2,040,601	Services
洒	21,472,445	0.8%	164,216	20,459,877	20,624,093	19,290,061	Total Wages and benefits
ര്	8,542,826	3.7%	292,658	7,979,159	8,271,817	7,196,515	Fringe benefits
<u>~</u>	12,929,619	-1.0%	(128,442)	12,480,718	12,352,276	12,093,546	Wages
		;					Fixed Route
<u>-</u>	PROPOSED BUDGET FY 2015	der) Budget % +/(-)	EST/ACT over(under) Budget Amount +/(-) % +/(-)	APPROVED BUDGET FY 2014	EST/ACT FY 2014	ACTUAL FY 2013	Category

## CENTRAL CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT AUTHORITY FY 2015 BUDGET- OPERATING REVENUES

Total	Subtotal	BART ADA Service/Other	STA Paratransit & Rev based	Measure J	TDA 4.0	TDA 4.5	FTA Section 5307	Non-Operating revenue	Fare revenue	Paratransit	Subtotal	Lifeline	RM 2/Other- Express	Other Local Grants	Dougherty Valley revenue	BART Express Funds	Measure J	TDA 4.0	STA Pop	Other State Grants	FTA Preventive Maintenance	FTA Section 5303	Non-Operating rev	Advertising revenue	Special service revenue	Fare revenue	Fixed Route	Category	
\$ 30,839,726 \$	5,125,995	185,879	1,177,261	1,170,229	808,838	638,144	667,479	45	478,120		25,713,731	684,000	145,339	169,604		603,978	3,791,969	10,266,085	2,651,904		2,002,433	30,000	152,259	574,912	1,061,608	3,579,640		ACT FY 2013	
32,938,397	5,254,544	174,000	916,116	1,308,488	•	858,430	1,414,410	100	583,000		27,683,853	23,302	145,339	66,250		651,196	4,120,779	14,614,517	2,149,883	116,919	520,106		120,000	587,212	1,134,450	3,433,900		EST/ACT FY 2014	
33,873,906 \$	5,477,046	198,900	916,116	1,303,317	993,848	858,430	676,696	300	529,439		28,396,860	0	145,339	0	200,000	651,196	4,282,321	15,519,673	2,149,883	117,000		30,000	120,000	572,096	979,654	3,629,698		APPROVED BUDGET FY 2014	
(935,509)	(222,502)	(24,900)		5,171	(993,848)		737,714	(200)	53,561		(713,007)	23,302	ı	66,250	(200,000)	ı	(161,543)	(905,156)	1	(81)	520,106	(30,000)	ı	15,116	154,796	(195,798)		EST/ACT over(under) Budget Amount $+/(-)$ % $+/(-)$	
-2.9% \$	-4.1%	-12.5%	0.0%	0.4%	-100.0%	0.0%	109.0%	-66.7%	10.1%		-2.5%		0.0%	100.0%	-100.0%	0.0%	-3.8%	-5.8%	0.0%			-100.0%	0.0%	2.6%	15.8%	-5.4%		fer) Budget % +/(-)	
34,983,566	5,404,509	177,480	1,114,282	1,265,886	0	766,150	1,545,610	100	535,000		29,579,057	0	145,339	159,000	0	697,596	4,011,374	16,826,006	2,068,547	116,919		30,000	120,000	592,212	1,248,564	3,563,500		PROPOSED BUDGET FY 2015	
\$ 2,045,169	149,965			(42,602)	0	(92,280)	131,200		(48,000)		1,895,205	(23,302)	1	. 92,750	ı	46,400	(109,404)				(520,106)	30,000	,	5,000	114,114	129,600		FY2013 vs 2012 EstActual Amount +/(-) % +/(-)	
6.2%	2.9%	2.0%	21.6%	-3.26%	100.0%	-10.7%	9.3%	0.0%	-8.2%		6.8%	-100.0%	0.0%	140.0%		7.1%	-2.65%	15.1%	-3.8%	0.0%	-100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.9%	10.1%	3.8%		2 EstActual % +/(-)	

## CENTRAL CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT AUTHORITY FY 2015 Revenue Source Utilization

(763,154)	₩				Increase (Decrease) to TDA reserve
(378,000)	378,000	ı			Capital Program TDA 4.0
(0)	5,404,509 \$	,508 \$	5,404,508	€	Total Paratransit Operating Revenue
000	1,265,886 1,114,282 177,480	265,886 \$ 114,282 \$ 177,480 \$	1,265,886 1,114,282 177,480		Measure J STA Paratransit BART ADA Service/other
(0)	766,150 0		/66		TDA 4.5 TDA 4.0
000	1,545,610		1,545,610		Non-operating revenue FTA Section 5307
00	535,000		535	€	Paratransit Fare revenue
(385,154)	29,579,057 \$	,903 \$	29,193,903	₩	Total Fixed Route Operating Revenue
0	0	0			Lifeline-CCTA
0	145,339	145,339	14.		RM2- Express
0	159,000	159,000	15		Other Local Grants
0 (	0	000	Ç.		Dougherty Valley grants
00	4,011,374 697.596	4,011,374 697,596	4,UT		Measure J  RART Eynress Funds
(385,154)	16,826,006	0,852	16,440,852		TDA 4.0
0	2,068,547	2,068,547 \$	2,06		STA Pop
0	116,919	5,919	111		Other State Grants
0	0	0			FTA Preventive Maintenance
0	30,000	30,000	ယ္က		FTA Section 5303
0	120,000	120,000	12		Non-Operating revenue
0	592,212	592,212	59:		Advertising Revenue
0	1,248,564	1,248,564	1,24		Special service revenue
0	3,563,500	,500 \$	3,563,500	↔	Fare revenue
					Fixed Route
Difference	Anticipated Utilization	enue	Anticipated Revenue	An	

## CENTRAL CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT AUTHORITY STAFFING

Total Operations		Fixed Route Operations									Administration	General											-	Maintenance									Transportation		
ร	Paratransit	Total	Subtotal in full time equivalents	Planning/Scheduling	7	Customer service	Marketing	Human Resources	Finance	Stores workers	Stores & Procurement	General Administration	Total Maintenance		Bus service workers	Street Maintenance	Mechanic, Level I	Mechanic, Level II	Mechanic, Level III	Mechanic, Level IV	Mechanic, Level V		Facilities	Maintenance administration	Total Transportation		Full-time stand-by (Protection)	Part-time runs	Full-time runs		Transit Supervisor/Dispatcher	Training	Transportation administration.	Position Type	
268.0	2.0	266.0	34.0	5.0	2.0	6.5	3.0	3.0	6.0	2.0	1.0	5.5	39.0	29.0	10.0		1.0	2.0	7.0	4.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	193.0	178.0	38.0	12.0	128.0	15.0	10.0	2.0	3.0	FY 09 ACTUAL	
266.0	2.0	264.0	32.0	4.0	2.0	6.5	3.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	1.0	5.5	39.0	29.0	10.0		1.0	2.0	7.0	4.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	193.0	178.0	38.0	12.0	128.0	15.0	10.0	2.0	3.0	FY 2010 ACTUAL	
259.0	2.0	257.0	31.0	5.0	2.0	6.5	ა. 0	2.0	5.0	2.0	1.0	4.5	39.0	29.0	10.0		1.0	2.0	7.0	4.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	187.0	172.0	35.0	12.0	125.0	15.0	10.0	2.0	3.0	FY 2011 ACTUAL	
258.0	2.0	256.0		6.0							1.0	4.0	39.0	29.0				2.0	7.0	4.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	187.0	172.0	35.0	12.0	125.0	15.0	10.0	2.0	3.0	FY 2012 ACTUAL	
261.0	2.0	259.0	29.0	0.0	20	6.0	2.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	39.0	29.0	10.0		1.0	2.0	7.0	4.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	191.0	175.0	36.0	12.0	127.0	16.0	10.0	2.0	4.0	FY 2013 ACTUAL	
263.0	2.0	261.0	29.0	0.0	20	6.0	2.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	40.0	30.0	10.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	7.0	4.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	192.0	175.0	36.0	12.0	127.0	17.0	11.0	2.0	4.0	FY 2014 BUDGET	
264.0	2.0	262.0	29.0	6.0	20	<u>ه</u> ا	2.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	40.0		10.0										193.0	176.0	36.0	12.0	128.0	17.0	11.0	2.0	4.0	FY 2014 ACTUAL	
265.0	2.0	263.0	29.0	6.0	200	၈ (	2.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	40.0										6.0		194.0	176.0			>		12.0			FY 2015 BUDGET	

## CENTRAL CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT AUTHORITY FY2015 CAPITAL PROGRAM

				Funding Source			
	Fed	State	State	To Be		Prior yr	
		Bridge Tolls	Bonds	Determined	Local	Local	Total
Revenue Fleet (31 Fixed route buses; 3 Paratransit vans) \$ 16,202,677 \$ 1,555,545 \$ 2,067,337	\$ 16,202,677	\$ 1,555,545	\$ 2,067,337			\$ 297,919 \$	20,123,478
Facility Maintenance and Modernization			1,115,000			↔	1,115,000
Signage and Street Amenities				500,000		ન્બ	500,000
Non Revenue Fleet						↔	ı
Tools & Maintenance Equipment						220,000 \$	220,000
IT Equipment/Software					328,000	<b>⇔</b>	328,000
Furniture & Office Equipment	ļ.				50,000	ا ج	50,000
Total	16,202,677	16,202,677 1,555,545 3,182,337	3,182,337	500,000	378,000	517,919 \$ 22,336,478	22,336,478

## CENTRAL CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT AUTHORITY CAPITAL PROGRAM In \$Thousands

Revenue Fleet replacements # Fixed Route vehicles # Paratransit vehicles	Non Revenue Fleet Revenue Fleet Revenue Fleet Facility Maintenance & Modernization Signage and Street Amenities Information Techniology Maintenance Equipment & Tools Office Furniture and Equipment Total Capital Program  Total Fixed-Route Total Paratransit  Funding Source Fed 5307  Transportation Development Act State Transportation bonds Lifeline- 1B pop based bonds State Transportation- 1B security Bridge Toll Revenue Carryover of Prior yrs funding To be Determined  Total Capital Revenue	Programs
7	<b>6</b> 1 6 7 1 8 24 5	FY2013
33	111 17,952 855 0 365 120 0 19,403 \$ 19,403 \$ 19,403 \$ 19,403 1,959 1,959 1,959 1,959 1,959 1,388 - 1,388 - 1,388	FY2014
<sub>د</sub> ع		FY2015
		FY2016
42		FY2017
တ		FY2018
4	FY2019  FY2019  632 410 7,671 7,671 7,671 500 7,671 7,671 500 7,671	FY2019
		FY2020
	30 4 30 4 30 4 30 4 30 4 30 4 30 4 30 4	FY2021
45 45	Try 2022  24,323 2,683 911 2,321 2,323 30,239	FY 2022
ത		FY 2023
11116	1,104 78,437 5,446 1,500 1,543 1,352 699 90,081 78,623 11,459 90,081  Total 63,490 11,348 6,557 485 117 6,067 518 1,500 90,081	Total

## CENTRAL CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT AUTHORITY TEN YEAR FORECAST In \$ Thousands

30 lotal CCCTA Operating Budget =	William expenses	29 Total Paratransit Operating Expenses	- Lotal Paratransit Operating Revenue							22 FTA Section 5307						17 Operating Expenses w/o contingency		16 Total Fixed Route Operating Revenue	15 Lifeline-CC County	14 RM2/Meas J- Express	13 Other Local Grants	12 Dougherty Valley dev fees/other	11 BART Express Funds	10 Measure J	9 IDA 4.0		/ Other state grants	6 FIA Preventive Maintenance	·	4 Investment & Other	3 Advertising	z opecial rares	a Sancial Factor	Revenue Hours	
\$ 30,841 \$		5,126	5,125	186	1,177	1, 7	, , , ,	808	) 33 30 7	867	478	82,000	25,715			25,715		25,715	684	145	170	1	604	3,792	10,266	2,652	1	2,002	30	152	575	1,062	3,580	213,624	FY2013
32,938 \$	2.5%	5,255	5,254	174	916	1,308	2	5	α ζα + α ζα +	<u> </u>	583	82,000	27,684	€	7.7%	27,684		27,684	23	145	66	4	651	4,121	14,615	2,150	117	520	ı	120	587	1,134	3,434	213,624	FY2014
34,984 \$	2.9%	5,405	5,404	177	1,114	1,266		5	788	n A	535	82,000	29,579	500	5.0%	29,079		29,579	ı	145	159	1	698	4,011	16,826	2,069	117	ı	30	120	592	1,249	3,564	213,624	FY2015
35,425 \$	3.0%	5,566	5,566	183	1,142	1,317		2 0	1,433 790	3	610	82,000	29,859		2.7%	29,859	,	29,859		145		100	719	4,173	16,126	2,120	117	368		120	607	1,274	3,991	213,624	FY2016
36,370 \$	3.0%	5,732	5,732	188	1,171	1,370	106	010	1,462	3	622	82,000	30,639		2.6%	30,639	ļ	30,639		145	,	150	740	4,341	16,455	2,173	117	375	30	120	622	1,299	4,071	213,624	FY2017
37,556 \$	3.0%	5,902	5,902	194	1,200	1,425	120	837	1,491		635	82,000	31,653		3.3%	31,653		31.653		145		150	762	4,516	17,117	2,228	117	382		120	638	1,325	4,152	213,624	FY 2018
	3.0%	6,079	6,079	200	1,230	1,483	60	862	1,521	· !	723	82,000	32,729		3.4%	32,729	 	32,729		145		150	785	4,698	17,355	2,283	117	390	30	120	654	1,351	4,651	213,624	FY 2019
39,829 \$	3.0%	6,260	6,260	206	1,261	1,550	66	888	1,551		738	82,000	33,569		2.6%	33,569	00,000	33 569	-	145	Č	164	809	4,911	17,773	2,340	117	398		120	670	1,379	4,744	213,624	FY 2020
\$ 40,877 \$	3.0%	6,446	6,446	212	1,292	1,620	72	915	1,582		753	82,000	34,430		2.6%	34,430	01,100	34 430	į	145	1		833	5.134	18.315	2,399	117	406	30	120	687	1,406	4,839	213,624	FY 2021
1.	3.0%	6,639	6,639	218	1.325	1,694	(12)	942	1,614		858 8	82,000	35,314		2.6%	35,314	00,014	25 244		u 7						2.459									IJ
43,058	3.0%	6,837	6,837	225	1.358	1.771	<u>@</u>	971	1,646		875	82.000	36,221		2.6%	36,221	30,221	36 334	£	Į Į	,	Ç	88 - 284 -	5.611	18 660	2.520	117	422	30 30	120	722	1.463	5.528	213,624	FY 2023

## CENTRAL CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT AUTHORITY TEN YEAR FORECAST In \$ Thousands

	-	0							- 1010		7.024	2020
31 Capital Revenue												
32 Federal .	<del>69</del>		\$ 14,480	\$ 16,203	<b>⇔</b>	\$ 3,094 9	\$ 649 \$	632	€ <del>9</del> 1	<del>(A)</del>	\$ 24,323	\$ 488
33 Transportation Development Act		235	1,959	378	3,154	458	381	410	589	330	2,683	771
34 Prop 1B bonds		883	1,091	3,182	0	346	72	71	0	0	911	0
35. Lifeline- 1B pop based bonds		0	485	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36 State Transportation- 1B security		117	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
37 Bridge Toll revenues		349	1,388	1,556	0	288	60	59	0	0	2,321	46
38 Carryover of unused prior year funding		0	0	518	0	0	0	O'	0	0	0	0
39 To be deterimined		0	0	500	0	0	0	500	0	0	0	500
40 Total Capital Revenue	<del>49</del>	5,206	\$ 19,403	5,206 \$ 19,403 \$ 22,336 \$ 3,154 \$ 4,186	\$ 3,154	\$ 4,186 \$	6 1,163 \$	1,671	\$ 589 \$	330	\$ 30,239 \$	_

31 Capital Revenue
32 Federal
33 Transportation Do
34 Prop 1B bonds
35 Lifeline- 1B pop ba
36 State Transportati
37 Bridge Toll revenu
38 Carryover of unus
39 To be deterimined

41 Capital Projects

19,403 \$ 22,336 \$

3,154 \$

4,186 \$

1,163 \$

1,671 \$

589 \$

330 \$

30,239 \$

9

## CENTRAL CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT AUTHORITY

## TDA RESERVE In \$ Thousands

		48	47	46	45 44		43	42	•
Percentage of operating budget	Number Of Months of Operating Expenses in Reserve	Ending TDA Reserve	Used for capital program	TDA used for Operations	Used for Paratransit operations  Used for Paratransit operations	TDA 4.0 Needed for Operations and Capital:	Estimated TDA 4.0 Allocation	Beginning Balance	
		45				<u> </u>	↔	↔	ו ען
40%	4.8	12,421 \$ 11,216 \$ 10,453 \$	(235)	(11,075)	(10,266) (809)		15,036 \$.	8,695	FY2013
		\$ 1.	,	<u>,</u>	(1,	:		\$	FY2014
34%	4.1	1,216	(1,959)	(14,615)	(14,615)		15,368 2.21%	2,421	014
		€9					↔	↔	ֶדֶּי
30%	3.6	10,453	(378)	(16,826)	(16,826) (0)		16,441 6.98%	8,695 \$ 12,421 \$ 11,216 \$ 10,453 \$	FY2015
			7	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	:	<b>⇔</b>	<b>.</b>	FY2
23%	2.7	8,016 \$	(3,154)	(16,217)	(16,126) (91)		16,934 \$ 3.00%	0,453 8	FY2016
				(16	(16		<u> </u>		FY2017
23%	2.8	8,439	(458)	(16,561)	(16,455) (106)	į	17,442 3.00%	8,016	017
		49		~·			↔	↔	77
23%	2.8	8,786 \$	(381)	(17,238)	(17,117) (120)		17,965 3.00%	8,439	FY 2018
٥٠		₩		۳			↔	69	   <sub>T</sub>
24%	2.9	9,466	(410)	(17,414)	(17,355) (60)		18,504 \$ 3.00%	8,439 \$ 8,786 \$ 9,466 \$ 10,098 \$ 11,012 \$ 10,284	FY 2019
		€9		<u>.</u>				69	٦ ۲
25%	3.0	10,098	(589)	(17,839)	(17,772) (66)		19,059 \$ 3.0 <b>0</b> %	9,466	FY 2020
		÷		<del>.</del>	<u>3</u>			↔	FY
27%	3.2	1,012	(330)	(18,387)	(18,315) (72)		9,631 3.00%	0,098	2021
		€9		_			↔	€9	ש
25%	2.9	9,466 \$ 10,098 \$ 11,012 \$ 10,284 \$ 11,689	(2,683)	(18,264)	(18,277) 12		19,631 \$ 20,220 \$ 20,827 3.00% 3.00% 3.00%	11,012	FY 2021 FY 2022
		\$		3	· ·		↔	€9	7
27%	ω ω	11,689	(771)	(18,651)	(18,660) 8		20,827 3.00%	10,284	FY 2023

	FY 2013 ACT	Est/Act FY 2014	FY 2014 Budget	Over (Under)	FY 2015 Budget	Over (Under) FY 2014 Est/Actual
FIXED ROUTE						
Wages, Operators	7,123,048	7,372,900	7,193,500	179,400	7,520,300	147,400
Wages, Operator/trainer	103,529	125,000	125,000	1	127,500	2,500
Wages, Trans Admin	876,106	1,022,522	994,900	27,622	1,068,917	46,395
Wages, Scheduling	112,471	113,417	110,200	3,217	117,301	3,884
Wages, Maint Admin	394,299	401,931	385,600	16,331	396,461	(5,470)
Wages, Building Maint.	250,584	261,014	262,500	(1,486)	282,977	21,963
Wages, Customer Service	325,398	334,640	342,700	(8,060)	352,374	17,734
Wages, Promotion	131,167	126,625	130,600	(3,975)	131,843	5,218
Wages, EE Services	146,251	148,040	142,900	5,140	146,644	(1,396)
Wages, Finance	368,915	336,107	339,600	(3,493)	351,008	14,901
Wages, Safety & Trng	143,293	138,378	137,100	1,278	138,378	1
Wages, General Admin	414,225	373,721	410,200	(36,479)	392,229	18,508
Salaried Pool	1	1	36,000	(36,000)	50,372	50,372
Performance based Comp Pool			40,000	(40,000)	40,000	40,000
Wages, Admin Bonus	1,650	1		1	ı	I
Wages, Board	20,400	22,500	26,400	(3,900)	26,400	3,900
Wages, Planning	403,343	391,572	394,600	(3,028)	408,077	16,505
Wages, Service Workers	351,453	338,488	432,540	(94,052)	396,341	57,853
Wages, Serv Wrkr Bonus	•	1	4,000	(4,000)	ı	
Wages, Mechanics	922,414	840,421	967,728	(127,307)	977,847	137,426
Wages, Mechanic Bonus	5,000	5,000	4,650	350	4,650	(350)
Total Wages	12,093,546	12,352,276	12,480,718	(128,442)	12,929,619	577,343 5%
Sick, Operators	293,973	298,400	292,500	5,900	304,300	5,900
Sick, Trans Admin	28,940	19,657	23,300	(3,643)	24,103	4,446
Sick, Scheduling	836	2,525	2,500	25	2,752	227
Sick, Maint Admin	6,684	3,631	9,600	(5,969)	9,433	5,802
Sick, Building Maint.	18,723	22,676	6,100	16,576	6,345	(16,331)
Sick, Customer Svc	7,740	14,397	5,600	8,797	6,577	(7,820)
Sick, Promotion	3,208	5,578	3,200	2,378	3,139	(2,439)
Sick, EE Services	1,429	884	3,600	(2,716)	3,491	2,607
Sick, Finance	7,155	13,411	8,400	5,011	8,344	(5,067)
Sick, Safety & Trng	576	3,999	3,400	599	3,999	ı
Sick, General Admin	2,912	5,263	10,200	(4,937)	9,193	3,930
Sick, Planning	6,028	22,422	9,700	12,722	9,626	(12,796)
Sick, Service Workers	2,413	2,500	6,000	(3,500)	5,449	2,949

			+				
	FY 2013 ACT	Est/Act FY 2014	FY 2014 Budget	Over (Under)	FY 2015 Budget	Over (Under) FY 2014 Est/Actual	₃r) ctual
Sick, Mechanics	19,200	33,966	23,600	10,366	23,605	(10,361)	
Total Sick Pay	399,817	449,309	407,700	41,609	420,356	(28,953)	-6%
Holiday, Operátors	358,284	354,400	358,700	(4,300)	361,500	7,100	
Holiday, Trans Admin	41,313	42,139	50,600	(8,461)	54,533	12,394	
Holiday, Scheduling	4,701	4,795	5,800	(1,005)	6,227	1,432	
Holiday, Maint Admin	16,690	17,024	20,800	(3,776)	21,342	4,318	
Holiday, Building Maint.	12,762	13,017	14,300	(1,283)	14,293	1,276	
Holiday, Customer Svc	9,327	9,514	12,200	(2,686)	14,880	5,366	
Holiday, Promotion	7,092	7,234	9,200	(1,966)	7,102	(132)	
Holiday, EE Services	6,003	6,123	10,100	(3,977)	7,898	1,775	
Holiday, Finance	17,869	18,226	19,400	(1,174)	18,879	653	
Holiday, Safety & Trng	7,811	7,967	11,200	(3,233)	7,967	1	
Holiday, General Admin	23,977	24,457	24,500	(43)	16,826	(7,631)	
Holiday, Planning	20,016	20,416	21,100	(684)	21,778	1,362	
Holiday, Service Workers	16,922	14,187	21,255	(7,068)	19,379	5,192	
Holiday, Mechanics	47,059	34,167	55,080	(20,913)	51,966	17,799	
Total Holiday Pay	589,826	573,666	634,235	(60, 569)	624,570	50,904	9%
Vacation, Operators	578,071	504,700	515,800	(11,100)	514,800	10,100	
Vacation, Trans Admin	77,116	78,658	74,300	4,358	81,156	2,498	
Vacation, Scheduling	8,775	8,951	7,800	1,151	8,313	(638)	
Vacation, Maint Admin	37,611	33,840	33,100	740	34,065	225	
Vacation, Building Maint.	18,952	19,331	18,000	1,331	19,648	317	
Vacation, Customer Svc	18,538	18,909	20,000	(1,091)	18,201	(708)	
Vacation, Promotion	12,846	13,103	11,300	1,803	11,417	(1,686)	
	13,872	14,149	12,400	1,749	12,682	(1,467)	
Vacation, Finance	31,832	32,469	28,900	3,569	29,826	(2,643)	
Vacation, Safety & Trng	14,015	15,795	12,400	3,395	15,795	1	
Vacation, General Admin	39,786	40,582	36,000	4,582	31,507	(9,075)	
Vacation, Planning	32,621	33,273	30,200	3,073	31,236	(2,037)	
Vacation, Service Wrkrs	29,239	19,934	27,000	(7,066)	23,972	4,038	
Vacation, Mechanics	83,224	67,905	78,900	(10,995)	70,197	2,292	
Total Accrued Vacation	996,498	901,599	906,100	(4,501)	902,815	1,216	0%
Abs Pay, Operators	50,209	71,500	52,400	19,100	72,900	1,400	
Abs Pay, Trans Admin	2,450	309	2,200	(1,891)	2,834	2,525	
		;					

7

3/26/2014

	PERS-RET, Cstmr Svc	PERS-RET, Bldg Maint.	PERS-RET, Maint Admin	PERS-RET, Scheduling	PERS-REI, Irans Admin	PERS-RET, Operators	Total FICA/Medicare	FICA, Mechanics	FICA, Service Workers								_		_	-		Total Compensation	Total Absence Pay	Abs Pay, Mechanics	Abs Pay, Service Wrkrs	Separation Pay/Benefits	Abs Pay, Planning	Abs Pay, General Admin	Abs Pay, Safety & Trng	Abs Pay, Finance	Abs Pay, EE Services	Abs Pay, Promotion	Abs Pay, Customer Svc	Abs Pay, Building Maint.	Abs Pay, Maint Admin	Abs Pay, Scheduling	
,	34,238	24,706	51,772	10,077	89,523	639,108	172,460	11,446	5,079	6,665	1,561	6,017	4,635	2,487	2,203	5,532	5,380	1,976	1,640	12,008	105,831	14,139,995	60,308		L	•	242	1,204	t	3,138	1,183	ı	221	ı	989	672	FY 2013 ACT
13	41,539	36,067	- 65,106	14,165	126,881	809,834	196,453	12,679	6,479	6,619	2,020	6,461	4,857	2,419	2,212	5,526	4,828	1,999	1,881	15,773	122,700	14,355,417	78,567	400	300	•	ı	1,090	ı	3,050	,	1	1,918	1	1	•	Est/Act FY 2014
	41,242	33,844	62,390	13,707	130,526	804,900	182,390	12,679	6,479	6,619	2,020	6,461	4,783	2,419	2,212	5,526	4,169	1,983	1,837	15,403	109,800	14,490,116	61,363	449	414	ı	900	1,000	300	800	300	300	500	600	900	300	FY 2014 Budget
	297	2,223	2,716	458	(3,645)	4,934	14,063	1	ı	ı	ı	1	74	1	1	ı	659	16	44	370	12,900	(134,699)	17,204	(49)	(114)	1	(900)	, 90 ,	(300)	2,250	(300)	(300)	1,418	(600) (600)	(900)	(300)	Over (Under)
	42,487	36,891	66,593	14,489	134,877	824,282	203,123	13,546	5,855	6,842	2,020	7,953	4,975	2,481	2,231	5,692	4,510	2,004	1,956	17,857	125,200	14,960,399	83,039	450	377	ı	1,132	875	ı	981	411	369	530	746	1.110	324	FY 2015 Budget
	949	824	1,487	324	7,996	14,447	6,670	867	(624)	223	(O)	1,492	118	62	19	166	(318)	<b>Ο</b> Ί	75	2,085	2,500	604,982	4,472	50	77	t	1,132	(215)	1	(2.069)	411	369	(1.388)	746	1.110	324	Over (Under) FY 2014 Est/Actual
3/26/2014							3%															4%	6%														er) \ctual

	FY 2013 ACT	Est/Act FY 2014	FY 2014 Budget	Over (Under)	FY 2015 Budget	Over (Under) FY 2014 Est/Actual
PERS-RET, Promotion	16,830	20,674	19,984	690	21,146	472
PERS-RET, EE Services	17,532	22,723	21,711	1,012	23,242	519
	43,418	52,258	50,222	2,036	53,452	1,194
PERS-RET, Sfty & Trng	19,066	23,823	23,005	818	24,367	544
PERS-RET, Gen Admin	51,586	62,959	67,892	(4,933)	64,397	1,438
PERS-RET, Planning	47,222	58,389	59,588	(1,199)	59,723	1,334
GM-457 Retirement	7,788	14,500	7,500	7,000	14,500	0
PERS-RET, Service Wrkr	31,520	38,416	51,393	(12,977)	44,522	6,105
PERS-RET, Mechanics	88,827	102,676	121,476	(18,800)	119,700	17,024
Total Retirement	1,173,213	1,490,010	1,509,380	(19,370)	1,544,667	54,656 4%
Medical, Operators	699,429	689,997	682,900	7,097	689,997	ı
Medical, Trans Admin	83,503	83,155	84,800	(1,645)	83,155	•
Medical, Scheduling	16,757	16,754	16,800	(46)	16,754	ı
Medical, Maint Admin	15,466	15,182	15,500	(318)	15,182	•
Medical Customer Svc	41,694 9,039	8108 8108	37,900 009,78	13,611 808	51,511 8 108	. 1
Medical, Promotion	9,477	7,897	9,500	(1,603)	7,897	•
Medical, EE Services		•	0	•	0	•
Medical, Finance	34,221	34,621	34,600	21	34,621	ı
Medical, Safety & Trng	7,900	7,594	7,900	(306)	7,594	1
Medical, General Admin	54,821	60,625	54,400	6,225	60,625	ı
Medical, Retirees	115,706	124,538	136,200	(11,662)	136,992	12,454
Medical, Planning	28,353	28,762	28,800	(38)	28,762	•
Medical, Service Workers	131,142	150,000	142,300	7,700	158,877	8,877
Medical, Mechanics	260,519	250,246	284,600	(34,354)	301,866	51,620
Medical Admin Charge	6,694	10,360	7,400	2,960	11,000	640
OPEB benefits	382,000	337,697	207,900	129,797	340,265	2,568
Total Medical	1,896,721	1,877,137	1,758,800	118,337	1,953,296	76,159 4%
Dental, Operators	219,343	235,533	219,200	16,333	241,421	5,888
Dental, Trans Admin	21,499	24,648	21,800	2,848	25,387	739
Dental, Scheduling	3,174	3,258	3,300	(42)	3,356	98
Dental, Maint Admin	5,069	5,268	5,300	(32)	5,426	158
Dental, Building Maint.	7,313	8,250	6,700	1,550	8,498	248
Dental, Customer Svc	8,518	7,077	8,800	(1,723)	7,289	212
Dental, Promotion	1,857	1,511	2,100	(n)	1,556	45
Dental, EE Services	2,640	2,729	2,700	29	2,811	82
						•

4

3/26/2014

SUI, Operators	Total Life Insurance	Life, Planning	Life, General Admin	Life, Safety & Trng	Life, Finance	Life, EE Services		Life, Customer Svc	Life, Building Maint.	Life, Maint Admin	Life, Scheduling	Life, Trans Admin	Life, Operators	Total Workers Comp	WC, Mechanics	WC, Service Workers	WC, Planning	WC, General Admin	WC, Safety & Trng	WC, Finance	WC, EE Services		WC, Customer Svc	WC, Building Maint.	WC, Maint Admin	WC, Scheduling	WC, Trans Admin	WC, Operators	Total Dental	Dental, Planning	Dental, General Admin	Dental, Safety & Trng	Dental, Finance	
81,099	89,808	3,793	2,201	1,082	3,000	1,351	1,261	2,527	2,357	3,655	921	6,895	60,765	506,555	70,493	21,256	14,074	14,330	8,026	12,613	8,026	8,026	16,046	8,304	12,613	2,337	30,377	280,034	288,260	7,309	4,637	1,361	5,540	FY 2013 ACT
81,810	100,767	3,627	2,951	1,008	2,858	1,374	1,274	3,021	2,506	6,637	863	7,436	67,212	900,000	123,974	41,030	21,013	25,989	14,045	23,999	14,045	14,045	27,980	11,944	23,999	5,087	53,969	498,882	308,182	7,469	5,288	1,382	5,769	Est/Act FY 2014
76,000	92,800	4,200	2,300	1,100	3,000	1,300	1,200	2,600	2,400	3,700	1,000	7,000	63,000	813,800	112,100	37,100	19,000	23,500	12,700	21,700	12,700	12,700	25,300	10,800	21,700	4,600	48,800	451,100	289,500	7,900	4,600	1,400	5,700	FY 2014 Budget
5,810	7,967	(573)	651	(92)	(142)	74	74	421	106	2,937	(137)	436	4,212	86,200	11,874	3,930	2,013	2,489	1,345	2,299	1,345	1,345	2,680	1,144	2,299	487	5,169	47,782	18,682	(431)	688	(18)	69	Over (Under)
82,600	103,286	3,718	3,025	1,033	2,929	1,408	1,306	3,097	2,569	6,803	885	7,622	68,892	927,000	127,693	42,261	21,643	26,769	14,467	24,718	14,467	14,467	28,819	12,302	24,718	5,240	55,588	513,848	316,250	7,693	5,447	1.423	5,942	FY 2015 Budget
790	2,519	91	74	25	71	34	32	76	63	166	22	186	1,680	27,000	3,719	1,231	630	780	421	720	421	421	839	358	720	153	1,619	14,966	8,068	224	159	41	173	Over (Under) FY 2014 Est/Actual
	2%													3%															3%					ler) \ctual

## CENTRAL CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT AUTHORITY

m
ä
$\triangleright$
₹
Ш
D
_
ᅋ
Η
×
낖
•

Management Services Agency Fees In-Service Monitoring Mobility Services Schedules/Graphics	Total Benefits Total Wages and Benefits	Total Other Benefits	Substance Abuse Prog. Ergonomics/W/C Prog	Wellness Program	Mechanic Tool Allowance	Cafeteria Plan-ATU	Other Fringe	Cafeteria Plan- Admin	Emp Assistance Prog.	Operator Medical Exams	Total Uniforms	Uniforms - Maint. Pers.	Operator Uniforms	Total SUI	SUI, Mechanics	SUI, Service Workers	SUI, Planning	SUI, Finance	SUI, EE Services	SUI, General Admin	SUI, Safety & Trng	SUI, Promotion	SUI, Customer Svc	SUI, Building Maint.	SUI, Maint Admin	SUI, Scheduling	SUI, Trans Admin	
40 100 - 19,027 45,643	7,196,515 19,290,061	852,552	7,089	20,465	13,752	551,131		234,628	15,165	10,322	52,243	11,259	40,984	118,254	7,812	4,174	2,466	3,038	868	2,219	868	868	3,423	2,604	2,170	868	5,777	FY 2013 ACT
35,000 300 6,000 30,400 65,730	8,271,817 20,624,093	1,213,915	7,691	13,699	12,323	922,527	15,793	225,176	7,886	8,820	62,500	14,500	48,000	119,712	8,246	4,774	2,604	2,190	868	2,604	868	868	3,472	2,170	2,170	868	6,200	Est/Act FY 2014
35,000 300 6,000 30,400 70,000	7,979,161 20,459,879	1,146,401	8,500	23,100	14,000	783,500		290,300	15,000	12,000	62,500	14,500	48,000	114,192	8,246	4,774	2,604	2,604	868	2,170	868	868	3,472	2,170	2,170	868	6,510	FY 2014 Budget
- - - (4,270)	292,656 164,214	67,514	(809)	(9,401)	(1,677)	139,027	15,793	(65,124)	(7,114)	(3,180)		1		5,520	ı	1	ı	(414)	1	434	1	1	ı		ı	1	(310)	Over (Under)
35,000 300 6,000 31,300 70,000	8,542,826 21,472,445	1,282,066	8,500	18,000	14,500	950,832	l	266,234	14,000	10,000	62,000	14,000	48,000	120,358	8,246	4,340	2,604	2,170	868	2,604	868	868	3,472	1,736	2,170	868	6,944	FY 2015 Budget
- - 900 4,270	271,009 848,352	68,151	809	4,301	2,177	28,305	(15,793)	41,058	6.114	1,180	(500)	(500)	l	646	t	(434)	ı	(20)	1	ı	1	•	ı	(434)			744	Over (Under) FY 2014 Est/Actual
	3% 4%	6%									-1%			1%														er) lctual

Bid and Hearing Notices Service Development Trans. Printing/Reproduc. Payroll Services Retail service charge Bank service charge Commuter check process fee Pay PERS file upload Special Planning- reimb expenses Temporary Help-All depts Temp Help-Shop Temporary Help-Transportation Temp Help-Tran Admin Temporary Help-Hanning Temporary Help-Planning Temporary Help-HR Temporary Help-IT Temp Help-training SVR-Differential/Radiator SVR-Differential/Radiator SVR-Differential/Radiator SVR-Body Repair SVR-Body Repair SVR-Body Repair Emission controls Phone Maint. Services Support Vehicle maint IT Supplies/replacements Clever Devices/rideck maint Office Equipment Maint.	Promotions Recruitment Legal Fees Financial services Auditor Fees
6,757 11,682 3,745 47,925 - 84 232 591 183,151 3,993 12,547 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	FY 2013 ACT 173,073 16,002 347,295 - 39,500
7,500 1,000 40,000 55,000 55,000 160 126,233 20,542 701 2,000 - 2,000 - - 121,582 27,450 13,000 134,000 134,000 134,000 13,906 8,313 20,156 13,906 163,107 19,477	Est/Act FY 2014 179,981 9,998 310,000 12,000 40,500
12,000 13,000 48,000 160 12,000 1,000	FY 2014 Budget 180,000 10,000 330,000 14,000 43,000
7,000 7,000 (30) (114,233 20,542 701 (2,000) 2,000 2,000 1,582 (4,550) (4,550) (36,000) (36,000) (36,000) (345) (8,750) (1,687) (1,344) (4,094) 3,607 (523)	Over (Under) (19) (20,000) (2,000) (2,500)
7,000 1,000 40,000 55,000 55,000 100 160 20,000 - 20,000 - 2,000 - 47,500 85,000 18,400 18,400 105,000 105,000 118,000 118,000 118,000 118,000 118,000 118,000 118,000	FY 2015 Budget 200,000 10,000 330,000 - 43,000
(500)	Over (Under) FY 2014 Est/Actual 20,019 2 20,000 (12,000) 2,500

C33-MISC Oil Analysis	CSS-Gases	CSS-Antifreeze	CSS-Safety	CSS-Cleaning	CSS-Solvents	CSS-Soaps	BART Relief Tickets	Transportation Supplies	Safety Supply	Tires and Tubes	CNG Alternative Fuel	Gasoline	Oils and Lubricants	Diesel Fuel	Total Services	Other Services	Security Services	Fire Monitoring	Armored Transport	Hazardous Waste	Waste Removal	Contract Cleaning Service	Real Time Bus maintenance service	RED Support Expense	Other Equip Maint	IT Consulting	Facility Maint	Software Svc-Graphics	Radio Maint. Service	IT Contracts	Landscape Service	Trapeze maintenance	Building Maint. Service	
																						Се	ance service											
9,000	4,187	3,641	5,933	4,633	1	11,677	38,763	17,206	7,923	214,068	1	35,085	66,966	2,030,390	2,040,601	2,945	75,940	3,405	18,156	96,317	11,265	2,260	26,895		ı	1	1	1	16,323	112,555	48,361		36,905	FY 2013 ACT
18,000	6,192	5,261	7,045	6,111	5,000	13,551	48,000	12,500	9,560	218,486	1	32,005	67,663	2,200,000	2,205,923	4,000	83,965	4,004	15,972	82,476	12,236	2,260	1	14,105	ı	6,500	ı	ı	7,336	115,125	86,414	1	75,952	Est/Act FY 2014
18,000	8,000	5,000	7,000	6,695	5,000	14,000	55,000	12,500	4,400	218,524	ŧ	33,000	66,900	2,573,748	2,253,610	3,500	84,000	5,000	21,000	78,750	12,600	ı	117,000	15,000	•	10,000	1	ı	7,500	125,000	61,200	ı	78,800	FY 2014 Budget
1 1	(1,808)	261	45	(584)		(449)	(7,000)	ı	5,160	(38)	1	(995)	763	(373,748)	(47,687)	500	(35)	(996)	(5,028)	3,726	(364)	2,260	(117,000)	(895)	1	(3,500)	1	1.	(164)	(9,875)	25,214		(2,848)	Over (Under)
- 18,000	7,000	5.600	7,000	6,200	5,000	14,000	55,000	12,500	5,500	231,362	l	35,350	70,000	2,575,000	2,089,994	4,000	84,000	4,000	21,000	82,500	13,200	2,300	ı	15,000	1	10,000	1		11,500	125,000	80,000	ſ	78,000	FY 2015 Budget
1 1	808	3 <u>3</u> 9	(45)	89	1	449	7,000	1	(4,060)	12,876		3,345	2,337	375,000	(115,929)	1	35	(4)	5,028	24	964	40	ι	895	1	3,500	ı	ı	4,164	9,875	(6,414)	í	2,048	Over (Under) FY 2014 Est/Actual
															-5%																			er) ectual

Total Utilities  Physical Damage Property Premiums Other Premiums UST Insurance	Total Materials & Supplies  Telephone Svc - TC  Pacific Gas and Electric  Telephone Svc - Concord  Contra Costa Water District  Telephone-Cellular	Equipment/Garage Exp. Coach Repair Parts Coach Repair Parts Shelter/Bus Stop Supply Radio Maint Supplies Lighting Supply Building Repair Supply Landscape Supply Tickets, Passes, Xfrs Supplies - Offsites Personnel Office Supplies Office Supplies-Administration Office Supplies-Administration Office Supplies-Maint. Postage Obsolete Parts Write-Off Safety Contingency Plans Training Supply Contracts & Grants Supply Supplies- IC Repair parts-grant exp	
	Supplies  ctric  cr District	Exp. s s upply oply oply firs firs firs firs firs firs firs firs	
<b>285,811</b> 89,112  37,561  10,325  9,148	3,134,276 179,648 20,116 21,652 64,395	FY 2013 ACT  18,077 528,792 5,292 21,475 2,282 34,397 6,126 24,098 1,519 200 4,485 14,861 - 1,736 9,057 517 2,064 3,723 1,453 3,335 1,453 3,335	
297,429 99,509 41,678 9,463 9,444	3,446,192 185,000 21,204 22,000 69,225	2014  22,997 607,373 11,000 1,337 17,460 6,005 37,831 5,000 17,088 1,675 500 750 15,000 - 3,080 11,000 701 1,156 57 5,593 6,215	Est/Act FY
318,000 89,001 48,200 8,700 10,000	3,853,238 188,000 25,000 20,000 85,000	Budget  25,000 614,911 25,000 15,000 17,500 10,000 37,560 5,000 1,000 - 15,000 - 3,500 12,000 - 5,000 6,000 6,000	FY 2014
(20,571) 10,508 (6,522) 763 (556)	(407,046) - (3,000) (3,796) 2,000 (15,775)	(Under) (2,003) (7,538) (14,000) (13,663) (40) (3,995) 271 - (912) (325) (500) 750 - (420) (1,000) 701 (3,844) (5,943) (407) (785) 25,000	Over
322,000 103,489 43,345 9,842 9,822	3,964,935 188,000 25,000 24,000 85,000	Budget  25,000 698,423 15,000 7,000 40,000 10,000 29,000 1,000 15,000 - 3,500 12,000 - 4,000 - 4,000 - 6,000 7,000 25,000	FY 2015
24,571 3,980 1,667 379 378	518,743 3,000 3,796 2,000 15,775	FY 2014 Est/Actual 2,003 91,050 4,000 (1,337) 40 995 2,169 5,000 11,912 325 500 (750) - 420 1,000 (701) 2,844 (57) 407 785	Over (Linder)
8%	15%	Actual	2

Staff I ravel CTA Dues APTA Dues APTA Dues Other Memberships Business Expense Training / Subs-Gm Misc exp Employee Functions Employee Awards Departing Emp gifts Paypal fees Newsletter Expense	Radio Site Lease-Diablo Equipment Leases  Total Leases  Business Expense- Tran Business Expense- Maint Business Expense-admin Business Expense-Fin Board Travel	Total Insurance Property Tax Licenses / Registrations Fuel Storage Tank Fees Use and Other Taxes Sales Tax Total Taxes	Liability Premiums Insurance/Liability losses Other Losses Pass sales write offs
37,476 12,325 26,494 - 7,630 2,031 1,016 25,440 4,221 86 3,486	35,061 3,114 - 38,175 117 - 44 1,667 7,006	381,485 10,860 1,641 10,472 5,245 290,559 318,777	FY 2013 ACT 124,391 110,948
20,000 13,000 28,000 - 8,000 5,000 1,000 4,500 - 3,688	32,663 6,557 - 39,220 100 - 400 500 16,500	453,724 10,674 2,000 13,757 6,903 290,000 323,334	Est/Act FY 2014 181,630 112,000
20,000 13,000 28,000 - 4,000 7,500 1,200 4,000 4,000 3,000 400	33,700 7,000 <b>40,700</b> 100 - 400 500 16,500	427,843 10,000 2,000 15,000 8,000 280,000 315,000	FY 2014 Budget 189,242 82,700
4,000 (2,500) (200) (200) (200) - 500 (700) 688 (400)	(1,037) (443) (1,480)	25,881 674 (1,243) (1,097) 10,000 8,334	Over (Under) (7,612) 29,300
20,000 13,000 29,000 - 4,000 7,500 1,200 30,000 4,500 - 3,000	33,700 7,000 <b>40,700</b> 100 400 500 16,500	471,873 10,000 2,000 15,000 8,000 290,000 325,000	FY 2015 Budget 188,895 116,480
1,000 (4,000) 2,500 200 - - (688)	1,037 443 <b>1,480</b>	18,149 (674) - 1,243 1,097 - 1,666	Over (Under) FY 2014 Est/Actual 7,265 4,480
•	4%	4% 1%	er) Actual

## CENTRAL CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT AUTHORITY

5	_	2
֡֜֝֝֜֜֜֜֜֜֝֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜	2	!
ן ן	<u> </u>	Į
ָ כ	Ļ	]
ָ ר	Ī	)   
-		1

Agency Fees/Public Info Promotions	Wages Sick Wages Holiday Pay Vacation Pay Absence pay Cafeteria Plan FICA PERS Medical Dental Life Insurance SUI	TOTAL FIXED ROUTE EXPENSES  Paratransit	Total Other Operating Expense Contingency	Total Miscellaneous  Alamo Creek Shuttle San Ramon-Noon shuttle St Marys shuttle Pacheco transit hub Environment Jus proj DVC transit Ctr Monument shuttle Cal State rte 260 shuttle Total Purchased Transportation	
t I	91,579 401 4,370 6,813 - 7,740 1,546 9,500 11,847 1,497 835 1,006	25,715,047	6,424,986	FY 2013 ACT 129,039 742 49,144 - - 46,936 96,822	
į į	94,800 - 4,499 7,000 - 8,226 1,441 11,395 11,568 1,911 867 868	27,683,853	7,059,760	Est/Act FY 2014 130,688 66,250 47,000 - - 50,000 163,250	
520 530	91,000 2,300 5,100 7,800 4,800 1,442 11,406 11,844 1,440 1,030 1,302	28,396,860	7,436,981 500,000	FY 2014 Budget 129,300 - 44,290 - - 55,000 99,290	
(520) (530)	3,800 (2,300) (601) (800) (200) 3,426 (1) (11) (276) 471 (163) (434)	(673,006)	(377,221) (500,000)	Over (Under) 1,388 66,250 2,710 - - (5,000)	
100 400	93,317 2,224 5,231 7,965 262 8,945 1,580 11,655 11,568 1,968 889 868	29,579,057	7 <b>,606,612</b> 500,000	FY 2015 Budget  129,700  159,000  48,410  - 55,000  262,410	
100 400	(1,483) 2,224 732 965 262 719 140 260 - 57 22	1,895,204	<b>546,852</b> 500,000	Over (Under) FY 2014 Est/Actual (988) -19 92,750 -1,410 5,000 99,160 619	
		6.8%	8%	ler) Actual -1% 61%	

## CENTRAL CONTRA COSTA TRANSIT AUTHORITY

Ě
$\geq$
m
0
ВС
ä
Ĭ

	FY 2013 ACT	Est/Act FY 2014	FY 2014 Budget	Over (Under)	FY 2015 Budget	Over (Under) FY 2014 Est/Actual	er) ctual
Legal Fees	2,496	10,000	10,000	1	3,000	(7,000)	
Bid/Hearing Notices	•	1	1	1	0		
Bank Service Charge		ı	ı	1	0	1	
Temporary Help	1	1	1	ı	0	ì	
Building Maint Services	1,499	1,700	2,082	(382)	1,720	20	
Software Maint Services	ı	ı	1	1	0	t	
Radio Maint Services	5,510	6,000	13,600	(7,600)	6,100	100	
Community Van Maint	9,308	10,000	10,200	(200)	10,200	200	
Other services	ı	1		1		ı	
Office Supply, PTF	3,500	3,700	3,000	700	3,800	100	
Telephone, Paratransit	1	ı	ı	ı	0	ı	
Gas and Electric	17,541	19,000	19,800	(800)	19,400	400	
Cell Phone	1,111	1,300	1,500	(200)	1,400	100	
Sales Tax	330	600	600	ı	600	ı	
Purchased Trans-LINK	4,759,151	4,881,736	5,071,720	(189,984)	5,028,188	146,452	
Purchased Trans-BART	188,574	175,920	202,910	(26,990)	181,198	5,278	
Other Purch Trans	ı	1,093	ı	1,093	1,000	(93)	
Training / Subscriptions	ı	520	520	ı	530	10	
Other Misc Expenses	(155)	400	400	r	400	1	
Employee Functions	•	f		ı	0	1	
Employee Honor Roll	•	1	ı	ı	0	ı	
		1			1		
Total Paratransit	5,125,999	5,254,544	5,477,046	(222,503)	5,404,509	149,965	3%
TOTAL CCCTA	30,841,046	32,938,397	33,873,906	(935,509)	34,983,566	2,045,169	6.2%